

# AN $F$ -SPACE (PRELIMINARY VERSION)

ALAN DOW AND KLAAS PIETER HART

ABSTRACT. We present an example of a zero-dimensional  $F$ -space that is not strongly zero-dimensional.

## INTRODUCTION

The question whether zero-dimensionality implies strong zero-dimensionality has a long history, a summary of which can be found in [4, Section 6.2]. We define a space to be zero-dimensional if it is a  $T_1$ -space and its clopen subsets form a base for the topology. We exploit the fact that zero-dimensional spaces are Tychonoff spaces to define a space to be strongly zero-dimensional if its Čech-Stone compactification is zero-dimensional.

There are by now many examples of zero-dimensional spaces that are not strongly zero-dimensional, even metrizable ones, see [8], but the authors are not aware of an  $F$ -space of this nature. Indeed, a question on MathOverflow that asks explicitly for such an example has remained unanswered for five years, see [7]. Recently Ali Reza Olfati raised this question with the first author in a different context.

The reason that there might not be an  $F$ -space example is that there are characterizations of  $F$ -spaces that seem to imply strong zero-dimensionality but do not: a Tychonoff space  $X$  is an  $F$ -space iff for every continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  there is another continuous function  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the property that  $|f| = f \cdot h$ ; so  $h$  is constant on the sets  $\{x : f(x) > 0\}$  and  $\{x : f(x) < 0\}$  with values 1 and  $-1$  respectively. Although  $h$  seems to split  $X$  into two clopen pieces it does not, its existence merely shows that  $\{x : f(x) = 0\}$  has a sizable interior.

In the next section we shall construct a zero-dimensional  $F$ -space that is not strongly zero-dimensional. Its Čech-Stone compactification contains non-trivial compact connected subsets and these are even connected  $F$ -spaces.

### 1. A ZERO-DIMENSIONAL $F$ -SPACE THAT IS NOT STRONGLY ZERO-DIMENSIONAL

The construction in this section is inspired by an answer, by the second author, to a question on MathOverflow, see [1], which in turn was inspired by Dowker's example M in [3]. The latter is a subspace of  $\omega_1 \times [0, 1]$ ; the example on MathOverflow is a quotient of  $\omega_1 \times \mathbb{A}$ , where  $\mathbb{A}$  is Alexandroff's split interval.

We replace the ordinal space  $\omega_1$  by the  $G_\delta$ -modification of the ordinal space  $\omega_2$ , which we denote  $(\omega_2)_\delta$ ; likewise  $(\omega_2 + 1)_\delta$  denotes the  $G_\delta$ -modification of  $\omega_2 + 1$ . We replace  $\mathbb{A}$  by the split interval over a suitable ordered continuum.

---

*Date:* Tuesday 27-07-2021 at 16:17:08 (cest).

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54G05; Secondary 54F45, 54G20.

*Key words and phrases.*  $F$ -space, zero-dimensional, strongly zero-dimensional.

We shall use an ordered continuum  $K$  with a dense subset  $D$  that can be enumerated as  $\langle d_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_2 \rangle$  in such a way that every tail set  $T_\alpha = \{d_\beta : \beta > \alpha\}$  is dense in  $K$ .

**Example 1.** If CH fails then we can take  $K = [0, 1]$  and, like Dowker did, choose  $\aleph_2$  many distinct cosets of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , say  $\{\mathbb{Q}_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_2\}$ , and enumerate their union  $D$  as  $\langle d_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_2 \rangle$  in such a way that  $\langle d_{\omega \cdot \alpha + n} : n \in \omega \rangle$  enumerates  $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha \cap (0, 1)$ .

**Example 2.** For a ZFC example let  $L$  be the tree  $(\omega_2^* + \omega_2)^{<\omega}$ , ordered by

$$s \prec t \text{ if } \begin{cases} s \subset t \text{ and } t(|s|) \in \omega_2, \text{ or} \\ t \subset s \text{ and } s(|t|) \in \omega_2^*, \text{ or} \\ (\exists k)(s \upharpoonright k = t \upharpoonright k \wedge s(k) < t(k)) \end{cases}$$

The linear order  $\prec$  has the property that every interval has cardinality  $\aleph_2$ .

We let  $K$  be the (connected) Dedekind completion of  $L$ ; the set  $L$  itself is the desired dense set, under any enumeration.

We need the following Lemma, which is a variation of a result of Van Douwen, see [4, Problem 3.12.20.(c)].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space. The product  $(\omega_2)_\delta \times X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $(\omega_2 + 1)_\delta \times X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : (\omega_2)_\delta \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous.

Take  $\alpha \in \omega_2$  of cofinality  $\aleph_1$ . For every  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \omega$  one can find  $\beta(x, n) < \alpha$  and an open set  $U(x, n)$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U(x, n)$  and

$$f[(\beta(x, n), \alpha] \times U(x, n)] \subseteq (f(\alpha, x) - 2^{-n}, f(\alpha, x) + 2^{-n})$$

By compactness we can take a finite subcover  $\{U(x, n) : x \in F_n\}$  of the cover  $\{U(x, n) : x \in X\}$ . Let  $\beta_n = \max\{\beta(x, n) : x \in F_n\}$ , then for all  $x \in X$  and  $\gamma \in (\beta_n, \alpha]$  we have  $|f(\gamma, x) - f(\alpha, x)| < 2^{-n+1}$ .

Next let  $\beta_\alpha = \sup\{\beta_n : n \in \omega\}$ , then  $\beta_\alpha < \alpha$  and  $f$  is constant on each horizontal line  $(\beta_\alpha, \alpha] \times \{x\}$ .

The Pressing-Down Lemma now gives us a single  $\beta$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $(\beta, \omega_2) \times \{x\}$  for all  $x$ . Those constant values give us our continuous extension of  $f$  to  $(\omega_2 + 1)_\delta \times X$ .  $\square$

Note that the proof also shows that  $(\alpha)_\delta \times X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $(\alpha + 1)_\delta \times X$  whenever  $\alpha$  has uncountable cofinality.

**Split intervals.** Using the continuum  $K$  and the dense set  $\{d_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_2\}$  we create a sequence  $\langle K_\alpha : \alpha \leq \omega_2 \rangle$  of ordered compacta, as follows:

$$K_\alpha = \{\langle x, i \rangle \in K \times 2 : \text{if } x \notin \{d_\beta : \beta \geq \alpha\} \text{ then } i = 0\}$$

ordered lexicographically. Thus  $K_\alpha$  is a split interval over  $K$ , where all points  $d_\beta$  with  $\beta \geq \alpha$  are split in two; if  $\alpha = \omega_2$  then no points are split and  $K_{\omega_2}$  is just  $K$  itself.

There are obvious maps  $q_{\alpha, \beta} : K_\alpha \rightarrow K_\beta$  when  $\alpha < \beta$ , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\alpha, \beta}(x, i) &= \langle x, 0 \rangle \text{ when } x \notin \{d_\gamma : \gamma \geq \beta\} \\ q_{\alpha, \beta}(d_\gamma, i) &= \langle d_\gamma, i \rangle \text{ when } \gamma \geq \beta. \end{aligned}$$

We abbreviate the maps  $q_{0, \alpha}$  by  $q_\alpha$ .

**Using remainders.** To get to our  $F$ -space we let  $X_\alpha = (\omega \times K_\alpha)^*$ , the Čech-Stone remainder. The maps  $q_{\alpha,\beta}$  induce maps from  $X_\alpha$  to  $X_\beta$  when  $\alpha < \beta$ ; we denote these by  $\mathbf{q}_{\alpha,\beta}$  and  $\mathbf{q}_\alpha$ .

We consider the product  $(\omega_2)_\delta \times X_0$  and the union

$$X = \bigcup \{ \{\alpha\} \times X_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2 \}$$

as well as  $(\omega_2 + 1)_\delta \times X_0$  and  $X^+ = X \cup (\{\omega_2\} \times X_{\omega_2})$ .

We shall topologize  $X^+$  in such a way that

- (1)  $X$  is a zero-dimensional  $F$ -space, and
- (2)  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $X^+$

The latter condition implies that  $X$  is not strongly zero-dimensional as we will have  $\beta X = \beta X^+$  and the latter space contains  $X_{\omega_2}$ , which is one-dimensional.

**The topology.** We define  $\mathbf{q} : (\omega_2 + 1)_\delta \times X_0 \rightarrow X^+$  as expected:

$$\mathbf{q}(\alpha, x) = \langle \alpha, \mathbf{q}_\alpha(x) \rangle$$

We give  $X^+$  the quotient topology determined by  $\mathbf{q}$ . We show  $\mathbf{q}$  is a closed map.

To begin note that for each  $\alpha$  the set  $\{\alpha\} \times X_\alpha$  is closed and gets the topology it already had as a Čech-Stone remainder. Also, if  $\alpha$  has countable cofinality then  $\{\alpha\} \times X_0$  is clopen, hence so is  $\{\alpha\} \times X_\alpha$ .

Hence to finish the proof that  $\mathbf{q}$  is closed we let  $\alpha$  be of cofinality  $\aleph_1$ , take  $x \in X_\alpha$  and an open set  $O$  in  $(\omega_2 + 1)_\delta \times X_0$  such that  $\mathbf{q}^\leftarrow(\alpha, x) = \{\alpha\} \times \mathbf{q}_\alpha^\leftarrow(x) \subseteq O$ . By compactness there are  $V$  open in  $X_0$  and  $\beta < \alpha$  such that

$$\{\alpha\} \times \mathbf{q}_\alpha^\leftarrow(x) \subseteq (\beta, \alpha] \times V \subseteq O$$

Because  $\mathbf{q}_\alpha : X_0 \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is closed there is an open set  $U$  in  $X_\alpha$  such that  $\mathbf{q}_\alpha^\leftarrow[U] \subseteq V$ . Then  $(\beta, \alpha] \times \mathbf{q}_\alpha^\leftarrow[U]$  is an open subset of  $(\omega_2 + 1)_\delta \times X_0$ . For  $\gamma \in (\beta, \alpha)$  we have  $\mathbf{q}_\alpha = \mathbf{q}_{\gamma,\alpha} \circ \mathbf{q}_\gamma$ , hence  $\mathbf{q}_\alpha^\leftarrow[U] = \mathbf{q}_\gamma^\leftarrow[\mathbf{q}_{\gamma,\alpha}^\leftarrow[U]]$ .

It follows that  $\mathbf{q}^\leftarrow[W] = (\beta, \alpha] \times \mathbf{q}_\alpha^\leftarrow[U]$ , where

$$W = \bigcup \{ \{\gamma\} \times \mathbf{q}_{\gamma,\alpha}^\leftarrow[U] : \beta < \gamma \leq \alpha \}$$

The set  $W$  is open and  $\mathbf{q}^\leftarrow[W] \subseteq O$ .

**Zero-dimensional.** Here is where we use that every tail set  $T_\alpha = \{d_\beta : \beta \geq \alpha\}$  is dense in  $K$ . This implies that each  $K_\alpha$  and hence each  $X_\alpha$ , when  $\alpha < \omega_2$ , is zero-dimensional: the family  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  of all clopen intervals of the form  $[\min K, \langle e, 0 \rangle]$ ,  $[\langle d, 1 \rangle, \langle e, 0 \rangle]$ , and  $[\langle d, 1 \rangle, \max K]$ , where  $d, e \in T_\alpha$ , is base for the topology of  $K_\alpha$ . Also, when one interprets one of the intervals above, I say, in  $K_0$  then it satisfies  $\mathbf{q}_\beta^\leftarrow[\mathbf{q}_\beta[I]] = I$  whenever  $\beta \leq \alpha$ .

We shall refine the argument used to show that  $\mathbf{q}$  is a closed map and use notation  $\text{Ex } U = \beta X \setminus \text{cl}(X \setminus U)$  for the largest open set in  $\beta X$  whose intersection with  $X$  is the given open set  $U$ .

Let  $F$  and  $G$  be disjoint closed subsets of  $\beta(\omega \times K_\alpha)$ . A standard argument, see for example [5, Section 3], produces a sequence  $\langle \mathcal{I}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  finite pairwise disjoint subfamilies of  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$  such that the clopen set  $\text{Ex } I$  separates  $F$  and  $G$ , where  $I = \bigcup \{ \{n\} \times \bigcup \mathcal{I}_n : n \in \omega \}$ . The important point is that  $\text{Ex } I$  has the same property as the members of  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha$ :  $X_0 \cap \text{Ex } I = \mathbf{q}_\beta^\leftarrow[\mathbf{q}_\beta[X_0 \cap \text{Ex } I]]$  whenever  $\beta \leq \alpha$ .

Let  $U$  be an open neighbourhood of a point  $\langle \alpha, x \rangle$  in  $X$ . In case  $\alpha$  is of countable cofinality we can take a clopen neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X_\alpha$  such that  $\{\alpha\} \times V \subseteq U$ ; this suffices because  $\{\alpha\} \times V$  is clopen in  $X$ .

If  $\alpha$  has uncountable cofinality then we first take  $\beta < \alpha$  and an open set  $W$  in  $X_0$  such that  $\{\alpha\} \times \mathbf{q}_\alpha(x) \subseteq (\beta, \alpha] \times W \subseteq \mathbf{q}^\leftarrow[U]$ . The argument given above now gives us a clopen subset  $C$  of  $X_0$  such that  $\mathbf{q}^\leftarrow(x) \subseteq C \subseteq W$  and  $\mathbf{q}_\gamma^\leftarrow[\mathbf{q}_\gamma[C]] = C$  whenever  $\gamma \leq \alpha$ . Then  $C^+ = (\beta, \alpha] \times C$  is clopen,

$$\{\alpha\} \times \mathbf{q}_\alpha(x) \subseteq C^+ \subseteq \mathbf{q}^\leftarrow[U]$$

and  $\mathbf{q}^\leftarrow[\mathbf{q}[C^+]] = C^+$ . Hence  $\mathbf{q}[C^+]$  is clopen and  $\langle \alpha, x \rangle \in \mathbf{q}[C^+] \subseteq U$ .

**$F$ -space.** To see that  $X$  is an  $F$ -space let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. We seek a continuous function  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f| = h \cdot f$ .

To this end we first extend  $f$  to  $f^+ : X^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , while also remembering the ordinal  $\alpha$  with the property that  $f \circ q$  is constant on all horizontal lines that start at  $\alpha$ . Since  $X_{\omega_2}$  is an  $F$ -space we get a continuous function  $g : X_{\omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g(x) \cdot f^+(\omega_2, x) = |f^+(\omega_2, x)|$ . For all  $\beta > \alpha$  we define  $h$  on  $\{\beta\} \times X_\beta$  by  $h(\beta, x) = g(\mathbf{q}_{\beta, \omega_2}(x))$ , and  $h^+$  on  $\{\beta\} \times X_0$  by  $h(\beta, x) = g(\mathbf{q}_{\omega_2}(x))$ . Then  $h^+$  is continuous and  $h^+ = h \circ q$  on  $(\alpha, \omega_2] \times X_0$ , so that  $h$  is continuous as well.

To find  $h$  on the rest of  $X$ , that is  $\bigcup\{\{\beta\} \times X_\beta : \beta \leq \alpha\}$ , we apply [6, Theorem 2.1] to see that that union is an  $F$ -space and hence the desired function exists.

**$C$ -embedding.** To show that  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $X^+$  we let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. The proof of Lemma 1 produces an  $\alpha < \omega_2$  such that  $f \circ \mathbf{q}$  is constant on  $(\alpha, \omega_2) \times \{x\}$  for all  $x \in X$ , which then determines the (unique) extension  $g : (\omega_2 + 1)_\delta \times X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $f \circ \mathbf{q}$ .

We show that  $g(\omega_2, x) = g(\omega_2, y)$  whenever  $\mathbf{q}_{\omega_2}(x) = \mathbf{q}_{\omega_2}(y)$ ; for then  $g$  determines a continuous extension of  $f$  to  $X^+$ . We assume  $x \neq y$  of course and take disjoint neighbourhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\beta(\omega \times K_0)$ . The argument used in the proof of zero-dimensionality now produces two sequences  $\langle \mathcal{I}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{J}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  of finite families of clopen intervals in  $K_0$  such that the clopen sets  $I = \bigcup\{\{n\} \times \bigcup \mathcal{I}_n : n \in \omega\}$  and  $J = \bigcup\{\{n\} \times \bigcup \mathcal{J}_n : n \in \omega\}$  satisfy

- $I \in x$  and  $J \in y$  ( $x$  and  $y$  are ultrafilters of closed sets), and
- $I \subseteq U$  and  $J \subseteq V$ .

For each  $n$  let  $E_n$  be the set of points in  $K$  that occur as first coordinates of endpoints of one of the intervals in  $\mathcal{I}_n$  and  $\mathcal{J}_n$ . The union,  $E$ , of these sets is countable. Therefore there is a  $\beta \geq \alpha$  such that  $E \cap \{d_\gamma : \gamma \geq \beta\} = \emptyset$ . This means that for  $\gamma \geq \beta$  the restriction  $q_\gamma \upharpoonright E$  is injective.

Because  $\mathbf{q}_{\omega_2}(x) = \mathbf{q}_{\omega_2}(y)$  the intersection of  $q_{\omega_2}[I]$  and  $q_{\omega_2}[J]$  is not compact and this intersection is contained in  $F = \bigcup\{\{n\} \times E_n : n \in \omega\}$ .

It follows that for all  $\gamma \geq \beta$  we have  $\mathbf{q}_\gamma(x) = \mathbf{q}_\gamma(y)$  and therefore

$$g(\gamma, x) = f(\gamma, \mathbf{q}_\gamma(x)) = f(\gamma, \mathbf{q}_\gamma(y)) = g(\gamma, y)$$

and this implies  $g(\omega_2, x) = g(\omega_2, y)$ , as desired.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Fred Dashiell, *Is Stone-Ćech compactification of 0-dimensional space also 0-dimensional?*, <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/93719>. (version: 2018-10-17).

- [2] Eric K. van Douwen, Kenneth Kunen, and Jan van Mill, *There can be  $C^*$ -embedded dense proper subspaces in  $\beta\omega - \omega$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), no. 2, 462–470, DOI 10.2307/2046965. MR977925
- [3] C. H. Dowker, *Local dimension of normal spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **6** (1955), 101–120, DOI 10.1093/qmath/6.1.101. MR86286
- [4] Ryszard Engelking, *General topology*, 2nd ed., Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989. Translated from the Polish by the author. MR1039321
- [5] Klaas Pieter Hart, *The Čech-Stone compactification of the real line*, Recent progress in general topology (Prague, 1991), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 317–352, DOI 10.1016/0887-2333(92)90021-1. MR1229130
- [6] Klaas Pieter Hart, Leon Luo, and Jan van Mill, *Unions of  $F$ -spaces*, Topology Proc. **43** (2014), 293–300. MR3104924
- [7] W. McGovern, *Zero-dimensional  $F$ -space which is not strongly zero-dimensional*, <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/239324>. (version: 2016-05-20).
- [8] Prabir Roy, *Nonequality of dimensions for metric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (1968), 117–132, DOI 10.2307/1994832. MR227960

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNC-CHARLOTTE, 9201 UNIVERSITY CITY BLVD., CHARLOTTE, NC 28223-0001

*Email address:* [adow@uncc.edu](mailto:adow@uncc.edu)

*URL:* <https://webpages.uncc.edu/adow>

FACULTY EEMCS, TU DELFT, POSTBUS 5031, 2600 GA DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS

*Email address:* [k.p.hart@tudelft.nl](mailto:k.p.hart@tudelft.nl)

*URL:* <http://fa.ewi.tudelft.nl/~hart>