

THEOREM 24.10

$$\text{MAX PCF} \{ \aleph_m \}_{\text{new}} = 2^{\aleph_w}$$

PROVIDED  $\aleph_w$  IS A STRONG LIMIT.

SINCE  $\aleph_w$  IS A STRONG LIMIT THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO

$$\text{MAX PCF} \{ \aleph_m \}_{\text{new}} = \aleph_w^{\aleph_0}$$

NOTATION: IF  $A$  IS A SET OF REGULAR CARDINALS THEN

$$\text{PCF } A = \{ \text{COF } D : D \text{ AN ULTRAFILTER ON } A \}$$

WHERE  $\text{COF } D = \text{COF}(\prod A, <_D)$

( $A$  AND  $<_D$  MEANS  $\{ a : f(a) <_D g(a) \} \in D$ )

STRATEGY:

STEP 1  $\text{PCF} \{ \aleph_m \}_{\text{new}}$  IS AN INTERVAL OF REGULAR CARDINALS

STEP 2a  $|\text{PCF} \{ \aleph_m \}_{\text{new}}| \leq 2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$  BECAUSE THERE ARE  $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$  ULTRAFILTERS ON  $\omega$ .

STEP 2b BY ASSUMPTION  $2^{2^{\aleph_0}} = \aleph_R$  FOR SOME  $R$  OUR INTERVAL STARTS AT  $\aleph_0$  SO IT MUST END BEFORE  $\aleph_{w+\aleph_1}$  I.E.,  $\text{sup PCF} \{ \aleph_m \}_{\text{new}} < \aleph_{w+\aleph_1}$

$$\text{STEP 3 } \text{sup PCF} \{ \aleph_m \}_{\text{new}} = \aleph_w^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_w}$$

SO  $\text{sup PCF} 2^{\aleph_w} < \aleph_{w+\aleph_1}$  AND  $\text{CF } 2^{\aleph_w} > \aleph_w$  THEREFORE  $2^{\aleph_w}$  IS A SUCCESSOR CARDINAL AND WE FIND SUP = MAX.

② STEP 1

FIRST LEMMA 24.10

IF  $I$  IS AN IDEAL ON  $A$  AND  $\lambda > 2^{|\Lambda|}$  REGULAR  
THEN EVERY  $<_I$ -INCREASING SEQUENCE  
OF LENGTH  $\lambda$  IN  $O_N^A$  HAS AN EXACT UPPER  
BOUND.

LET  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  BE SUCH A SEQUENCE

LET  $\Theta$  BE LARGE ENOUGH

AND TAKE  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$  WITH

-  $I, F \in \mathcal{M}$

-  $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{|\Lambda|}$

-  $\mathcal{M}^{|\Lambda|} \in \mathcal{M}$

FOR  $\alpha < \lambda$  LET  $g_\alpha(a) = \min\{\beta \in \mathcal{M} : \beta \geq f_\alpha(a)\}$

SO  $g_\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  AND HENCE  $g_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ .

AND SO, AS  $|\mathcal{M}| < \lambda$  AND  $\lambda$  IS REGULAR,

THERE ARE  $S \subseteq \lambda$  AND  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  SUCH

THAT  $|S| = \lambda$  AND  $g_\alpha = f$  FOR  $\alpha \in S$

AS  $f_\alpha \leq_I f$  (EVEN  $f_\alpha \leq f$ ) FOR  $\alpha \in S$

THE FUNCTION  $f$  IS AN UPPER BOUND.

LET  $h <_I f$  WE FIND  $\alpha$  WITH  $h <_I f_\alpha$ .

BY ELEMENTARITY IT SUFFICES TO DEAL  
WITH  $h \in \mathcal{M}$ :

LET  $\alpha \in S$  THEN  $\{a : h(a) < g_\alpha(a)\} = \{a : h(a) < f_\alpha(a)\}$

~~BELONGS TO~~

AND FOR THESE  $a$ :  $h(a) < f_\alpha(a)$

BECAUSE  $g_\alpha(a) = \min\{\beta \in \mathcal{M} : \beta \geq f_\alpha(a)\}$

AND SO  $h <_I f_\alpha$ .

LEMMA 24.19

IF  $A$  IS AN INTERVAL OF REGULAR CARDINALS AND  $\min A = (2^{|\mathbb{N}|})^+$  THEN  $\text{pcf } A$  IS ALSO AN INTERVAL.

NOTE: VIA PRINCIPAL ULTRAFILTER  $A \in \text{pcf } A$ .

LET  $D$  BE AN ULTRAFILTER ON  $A$  AND LET  $\lambda$  BE REGULAR SUCH THAT  $\min A < \lambda < \text{cof } D$

(BECAUSE  $A \in \text{pcf } A$  WE CAN ASSUME  $\lambda \geq \sup A$ ).

LET  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cof } D \rangle$  BE  $<_D$ -INCREASING AND  $<_D$ -COFINAL IN  $\prod A$ .

LET  $g$  BE AN EXACT UPPER BOUND OF THE INITIAL SEGMENT  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$

SO  $\langle f_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  IS COFINAL IN  $\{\prod_a g(a), <_D\}$

LET  $h(a) = \text{cf } g(a)$  AND LET  $S_a$  BE CLOSED AND COFINAL IN  $g(a)$  AND OF ORDER TYPE  $h(a)$

THEN  $\langle \bar{f}_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  IS COFINAL IN  $\langle \prod_{a \in A} S_a, <_D \rangle$

WHERE  $\bar{f}_\alpha(a) = \min \{ \gamma \in S_a : \gamma \geq f_\alpha(a) \}$

AND THIS TRANSLATES INTO ~~A~~ A  $<_D$ -COFINAL SEQUENCE IN  $\prod_{a \in A} h(a)$ , SAY  $\langle h_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ .

LET  $X = \{ \alpha : h(\alpha) \leq 2^{|\mathbb{N}|} \}$  THEN  $|\prod_{a \in X} h(a)| \leq 2^{|\mathbb{N}|} < \lambda$

SO  $X \notin D$  AND HENCE  $\{ \alpha : h(\alpha) > 2^{|\mathbb{N}|} \} \in D$

AS  $A$  IS AN INTERVAL WE GET  $\{ \alpha : h(\alpha) \in E \} \in D$  SO WLOG  $h(a) \in A$  FOR ALL  $a$ .

DEFINE  $\bar{h}_\alpha \in \prod A$  BY

$$\bar{h}_\alpha(a) = \sup \{ h_\alpha(\beta) : h(\beta) = a \}$$

NOTE  $\bar{h}_\alpha \circ h \geq h_\alpha$  FOR ALL  $\alpha$

SO  $\langle \bar{h}_\alpha \circ h : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  IS  $<_D$ -COFINAL IN  $\prod_{a \in A} h(a)$

AND SO  $\langle \bar{h}_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  IS  $<_E$ -COFINAL IN  $\prod A$ ,

WHERE  $E = h(D) = \{ x : h^{-1}[x] \in D \}$

TURN  $\langle \bar{h}_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$  INTO A  $<_E$ -INCREASING AND  $<_E$ -COFINAL SEQUENCE.

(4) STEP 2a EACH ULTRAFILTER <sup>ON  $\omega$</sup>  IS A SUBSET OF  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  HENCE AN ELEMENT OF  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\omega))$

STEP 2b  $\{ \alpha : \mathcal{S}_\alpha \in \text{pcf} \{ \mathcal{S}_m \}_{\text{new}} \}$

- HAS CARDINALITY LESS THAN  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{RH}}$
- CONTAINS AN INTERVAL OF SUCCESSOR ORDINALS
- SO ITS SUPREMUM IS LESS THAN  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{RH}} = \omega_{\text{RH}}$

STEP 3 PUT  $\lambda = \sup \text{pcf} \{ \mathcal{S}_m \}_{\text{new}}$

WE SHOW  $\lambda = \mathcal{S}_\omega^{\mathcal{S}_\omega} = 2^{\mathcal{S}_\omega}$

24.21 THERE IS  $F \subseteq \prod_{\text{new}} \mathcal{S}_m$  OF CARDINALITY  $\lambda$  SUCH THAT  $(\forall g \in \prod_{\text{new}} \mathcal{S}_m) (\exists f \in F) (g \leq f)$

FOR EACH ULTRAFILTER  $D$  LET

$\langle f_\alpha^D : \alpha < \text{cof } D \rangle$  BE  $<_D$ -COFINAL IN  $\prod_{\text{new}} \mathcal{S}_m$ .

PUT  $F_D = \bigcup_D \{ f_\alpha^D : \alpha < \text{cof } D \}$

AM  $F = \{ f_1 \vee \dots \vee f_n : f_1, \dots, f_n \in F; \text{new} \}$

$(f_1 \vee \dots \vee f_n)(\alpha) = \max \{ f_1(\alpha), \dots, f_n(\alpha) \}$ .

LET  $g \in \prod_{\text{new}} \mathcal{S}_m$  PUT  $X_f = \{ m : g(m) > f(m) \} (f \in F)$

IF  $X_f \neq \emptyset$  FOR ALL  $f$  THEN

$\{ X_f : f \in F \}$  HAS THE FINITE INT. PROPERTY

(BECAUSE  $X_{f_1 \vee f_2} = X_{f_1} \cap X_{f_2}$ ).

HENCE  $\{ X_f : f \in F \} \in D$  FOR SOME  $D$

BUT THEN, IN PARTICULAR,

$\{ m : g(m) > f_\alpha^D(m) \} \in D$

FOR ALL  $\alpha < \text{cof } D$

A CONTRADICTION.

WE HAVE  $F \subseteq \prod_{new} \mathcal{X}_w$  AND  $k \in \omega$   
 SUCH THAT -  $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \mathcal{X}_k$   
 -  $\lambda < \mathcal{X}_{w_k}$

LET  $\theta$  BE LARGE ENOUGH, AND CONSIDER  
 $\langle H(\theta), \in, \triangleleft \rangle$ , WHERE  $\triangleleft$  IS A WELL-ORDER.

FOR  $a \in [\mathcal{X}_w]^{\aleph_0}$  WE BUILD A CHAIN OF  
 ELEMENTARY SUBSTRUCTURES, AS FOLLO

$\langle M_\alpha^a : \alpha < \omega_k \rangle$ , SUCH THAT

-  $w_k \cup a \subseteq M_0^a$

-  $|M_\alpha^a| = \aleph_k$

-  $M_\alpha^a = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta^a$  IF  $\alpha$  IS A LIMIT

-  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + 1$ : DEFINE  $\chi_\alpha^a(n) = \sup M_\alpha^a \cap w_n$  ( $n > k$ )

TAKE  $f_\alpha^a \in F$  WITH

$f_\alpha^a(n) \supseteq \chi_\alpha^a(n)$  ( $n > k$ )

LET  $M_{\alpha+1}^a$  BE SUCH THAT

$M_\alpha^a, f_\alpha^a \in M_{\alpha+1}^a$ .

LET  $M^a = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_k} M_\alpha^a$ .

DEFINE  $\chi_\alpha^a(n) = \sup M_\alpha^a \cap w_n$  ( $n > k$ )

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &= \sup_{\alpha < \omega_k} \chi_\alpha^a(n) \\ &= \sup_{\alpha < \omega_k} f_\alpha^a(n) \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} &\chi_\alpha^a(n) \subseteq f_\alpha^a(n) \\ &\subseteq \chi_{\alpha+1}^a(n) \end{aligned}$$

CLAIM (1)  $\{\chi^a : a \in [\mathcal{X}_w]^{\aleph_0}\}$  HAS CARDINALITY AT MOST  $\lambda$

CLAIM (2) IF  $\chi^a = \chi^b$  THEN  $M^a \cap \mathcal{X}_w = M^b \cap \mathcal{X}_w$

TOGETHER AS  $[\mathcal{X}_w]^{\aleph_0} = \bigcup_a [M^a \cap \mathcal{X}_w]^{\aleph_0}$  WE HAVE

$|[\mathcal{X}_w]^{\aleph_0}| \leq \lambda \cdot [M^a \cap \mathcal{X}_w]^{\aleph_0} = \lambda \cdot \aleph_k = \lambda$

⑥ CLAIM ①

FIRST 24.23: THERE IS  $S \in [\lambda]^{S_A}$  OF CARD  $\lambda$ .  
SUCH THAT FOR ALL  $X \in [\lambda]^{S_A}$  THERE  
IS  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  SUCH THAT  $S \subseteq X$ .

INDUCTION ON  $\alpha \in [2^{S_A}, \lambda]$  THERE IS  
SUCH AN  $S_\alpha$  IN  $[\alpha]^{S_A}$ , WITH CARD  $|\alpha|$ .

$$\alpha = 2^{S_A} : S_\alpha = [2^{S_A}]^{S_A}$$

$\alpha$  NOT A CARDINAL: USE BIJECTION BETWEEN  
 $|\alpha|$  AND  $\alpha$  TO CREATE  $S_\alpha$   
OUT OF  $S_{|\alpha|}$ .

$\alpha$  A CARDINAL: NOTE  $\alpha \leq \lambda < \aleph_{WR}$ ,  
SO CF  $\alpha \neq S_A$ .

IN THIS CASE

$$S_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S_\beta \text{ WORKS.}$$

NOW FOR  $a \in [S_A]^{S_A}$  LET  $X_a = \{f_a^\alpha : \alpha < WR\}$

WE HAVE  $S \in [F]^{S_A}$  SUCH THAT  $|S| = \lambda$

AND  $(\forall X \in [F]^{S_A}) (\exists S \in \mathcal{S}) (S \subseteq X)$

IN PARTICULAR:  $(\exists S_a \in \mathcal{S}) (S_a \subseteq X_a)$

BUT THEN  $\chi_{(n)}^a = \sup_{f \in S_a} f(n)$

THIS GIVES US AT MOST  $|S| = \lambda$  MANY  
FUNCTIONS  $\chi_{(n)}^a$ .

## CLAIM 2

(7)

By induction on  $n \geq k$ :  $\Pi^a \cap \omega_n = \Pi^b \cap \omega_n$ .

$$n = k: \Pi^a \cap \omega_k = \omega_k = \Pi^b \cap \omega_k$$

$$n \rightarrow n+1 \quad \text{LET } \delta = \chi^a(n+1) = \chi^b(n+1)$$

$$\text{THEN } \text{CF } \delta = \aleph_k$$

THERE ARE SUB SETS  $C^a \subseteq \Pi^a \cap \delta$

AND  $C^b \subseteq \Pi^b \cap \delta$  OF ORDER TYPE  $\omega_k$ .

NOTE:  $C^a$  AND  $C^b$  NEED NOT BELONG TO  $\Pi^a$  AND  $\Pi^b$  RESPECTIVELY

THEN  $C = C^a \cap C^b$  IS SUB IN  $\delta$  AS WELL.

FOR  $\gamma \in C \cap (\omega_n, \delta)$  THERE

IS A  $\triangleleft$ -FIRST BIJECTION  $\Pi \cap \omega_n \rightarrow \gamma$

THEN  $\Pi \in \Pi^a$  AND  $\Pi \in \Pi^b$

BY ELEMENTARITY.

$$\text{SO } \gamma \cap \Pi^a = \Pi[\omega_n \cap \Pi^a]$$

$$= \Pi[\omega_n \cap \Pi^b] = \gamma \cap \Pi^b$$

AND SO

$$\delta \cap \Pi^a = \bigcup_{\gamma \in C} \gamma \cap \Pi^a = \bigcup_{\gamma \in C} \gamma \cap \Pi^b = \delta \cap \Pi^b$$