

ULTRAFILTERS AND COMBINATORICS

①

ULTRAFILTERS

X A SET (TODAY: \mathbb{N} OR $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$)

AN ULTRAFILTER ON X IS A

FAMILY \mathcal{U} OF SUBSETS SATISFYING

- $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$ AND $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$
 - $A, B \in \mathcal{U} \rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$
 - $A \in \mathcal{U}, B \supseteq A \rightarrow B \in \mathcal{U}$
- } FILTER

• ONE (HENCE ALL) OF THE FOLLOWING
(EQUIVALENT) CONDITIONS

- $(\forall A) (A \in \mathcal{U} \vee X \setminus A \in \mathcal{U})$

- $(\forall A, B) ((A \cup B \in \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (A \in \mathcal{U} \vee B \in \mathcal{U}))$

- \mathcal{U} IS MAXIMAL IN THE CONGRUENCES
OF FILTERS ON X

ULTRAFILTER THEOREM

EVERY FILTER CAN BE EXTENDED
TO AN ULTRAFILTER

PROOF: ZORN'S LEMMA

TRIVIAL ULTRAFILTERS

$$\mathcal{U}_x = \{ A \subseteq X : x \in A \}$$

NON-TRIVIAL ULTRAFILTERS

EXTEND $\mathcal{C}_\omega = \{ A \subseteq X : |X \setminus A| < \omega \}$

TO AN ULTRAFILTER

NON-TRIVIAL ULTRAFILTERS ARE HARD TO DESCRIBE:

DEFINE $\nu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$

BY
$$\nu(A) = \sum_{n \in A} 2^{-n}$$

SIERPIŃSKI (1938)

IF \mathcal{U} IS A NON-TRIVIAL ULTRAFILTER THEN $\{ \nu(A) : A \in \mathcal{U} \}$ IS NOT LEBESGUE-MEASURABLE.

INTUITION: MEMBERS OF AN ULTRAFILTER ARE 'LARGE'.

$\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ DEFINED BY

$$\mu(A) = 1 \text{ IF } A \in \mathcal{U}, \mu(A) = 0 \text{ IF } A \notin \mathcal{U}$$

IS A FINITELY ADDITIVE MEASURE.

RAMSEY'S THEOREM

IF $[N]^2 = A_0 \cup A_1$, THEN THERE IS AN INFINITE $H \subseteq N$ SUCH THAT $[H]^2 \subseteq A_0$ OR $[H]^2 \subseteq A_1$.

PROOF.

FIX A NON-TRIVIAL ULTRAFILTER U .

FOR $n \in N$ LET $\epsilon_n \in \{0, 1\}$ BE SUCH THAT $U_n = \{m > n : \{n, m\} \in A_{\epsilon_n}\} \in U$

NEXT PICK $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ SUCH THAT $V = \{n : \epsilon_n = \epsilon\} \in U$

NOW, RECURSIVELY, DEFINE

$\{n_i : i \in N\}$ AS FOLLOWS

$$n_0 = \min V$$

$$n_1 = \min V \cap U_{n_0}$$

$$n_2 = \min V \cap U_{n_0} \cap U_{n_1}$$

$$n_3 = \min V \cap U_{n_0} \cap U_{n_1} \cap U_{n_2}$$



$$n_i = \min V \cap \bigcap_{j < i} U_{n_j}$$



SUMMARIZING

IF $i < j$ THEN $n_j \in U_{n_i}$
AND HENCE $\{n_i, n_j\} \in A_\epsilon$.

WE FIND

$I = \{n_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$
IS AS REQUIRED.

HINDMAN'S THEOREM.

IF $\mathbb{N} = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m$ THEN THERE
ARE i AND AN INFINITE SET B
SUCH THAT $FS(B) \subseteq A_i$.

$$FS(B) = \{ \sum x : x \subseteq B \text{ FINITE NONEMPTY} \}$$

COROLLARY [FOLKMAN'S THEOREM]

FOR EVERY n AND k THERE

IS $\Pi(n, k)$ SUCH THAT: IF

$$\{0, 1, \dots, \Pi(n, k)\} = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m$$

THEN THERE IS B OF SIZE k WITH

$FS(B) \subseteq A_i$ FOR SOME i .

ADDING ULTRAFILTERS:

LET p AND q BE ULTRAFILTERS ON \mathbb{N}

IF $n \in \mathbb{N}$ AND $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ THEN

$$A - n = \{ m : n + m \in A \}.$$

$$\bullet n + q = \{ A : A - n \in q \}$$

($n + q$ IS q SHIFTED n TO THE RIGHT)

$$\bullet p + q = \{ A : \{ n : A \in n + q \} \in p \}$$

ASSUME WE HAVE p WITH $p = p + p$.

$$\text{So, } A \in p \text{ IFF } A \in p + p$$

$$\text{IFF } \{ n : A - n \in p \} \in p$$

FOR $A \in p$ WRITE $A^* = \{ n : A - n \in p \}$

TAKE $A \in p$

$$\text{SET } A_0 = A \text{ AND } n_0 = \min(A_0 \cap A_0^*)$$

$$\text{So } n_0 \in A \text{ AND } A - n_0 \in p$$

$$\text{SET } A_1 = A_0 \cap A_0^* \cap (A_0 - n_1) \text{ AND}$$

$$n_1 = \min(A_1 \cap A_1^*) \setminus \{0, \dots, n_0\}$$

$$\text{So } n_1 \in A \text{ AND } n_0 + n_1 \in A$$

SET $A_2 = A_1 \cap A_1^* \cap (A_1 - n_1)$ AND

$$n_2 = \min(A_2 \cap A_2^*) \setminus \{0, \dots, n_1\}$$

So $n_2 \in A_2$

$$n_0 + n_2 \in A_0, \quad n_1 + n_2 \in A_1$$

$$n_0 + n_1 + n_2 \in A_0$$

SET $A_3 = A_2 \cap A_2^* \cap (A_2 - n_2)$ AND

$$n_3 = \min(A_3 \cap A_3^*) \setminus \{0, \dots, n_2\}$$

So $n_3 \in A_3$

$$n_0 + n_3 \in A_0, \quad n_1 + n_3 \in A_1, \quad n_2 + n_3 \in A_2,$$

$$n_0 + n_1 + n_3 \in A_0, \quad n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \in A_1,$$

$$n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \in A_0.$$



$$B = \{n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots\}$$

SATISFIES

$$FS(B) \subseteq A.$$

WE SEE: EVERY ELEMENT OF P

CONTAINS SOME $FS(B)$.

To prove HINDMAN'S THEOREM:

7

FIND NON-TRIVIAL ULTRAFILTER \mathcal{P}
SATISFYING $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}$.

- \mathcal{N}^* IS THE SET OF NON-TRIVIAL U.F.'S.
- FOR $A \in \mathcal{N}$ PUT $A^* = \{p \in \mathcal{N}^* : A \in p\}$;
THEN $\{A^* : A \in \mathcal{N}\}$ IS A BASE FOR
A COMPACT HAUSDORFF TOPOLOGY.
- $(p, q) \mapsto p + q$ IS ASSOCIATIVE AND
CONTINUOUS IN THE FIRST VARIABLE.
- $\mathcal{A} = \{F : F \text{ IS CLOSED AND } F + F \subseteq F\}$.
 - $\mathcal{N}^* \in \mathcal{A}$
 - IF \mathcal{A}' IS A CHAIN IN \mathcal{A} THEN $\bigcap \mathcal{A}' \in \mathcal{A}$
 - ZORN: TAKE A MINIMAL ELEMENT \mathcal{M}
 - \mathcal{M} CONSISTS OF ONE POINT

LET $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

- $\mathcal{M} + x$ IS CLOSED; $\mathcal{M} + x \subseteq \mathcal{M}$;

$$(\mathcal{M} + x) + (\mathcal{M} + x) = (\mathcal{M} + x + \mathcal{M}) + x \subseteq \mathcal{M} + x$$

SO $\mathcal{M} + x = \mathcal{M}$

②

$$- N_x = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{M} : \gamma + x = x \}$$

N_x IS NONEMPTY

N_x IS CLOSED (CONTINUITY)

$$N_x + N_x \subseteq N_x : \quad (\bar{z} + \gamma) + x = \bar{z} + (\gamma + x) \\ = \bar{z} + x = x$$

So $N_x = \mathcal{M}$ AND HENCE $x + x = x$.

9

VAN DER WAERDEN'S THEOREM

IF $N = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m$ THEN THERE IS AN i SUCH THAT A_i CONTAINS ARBITRARILY LONG ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS.

ORDER THE IDEMPOTENTS BY

$$p \leq q \quad \text{IFF} \quad p = p + q = q + p$$

THEOREM

IF p IS A MINIMAL IDEMPOTENT IN N^* AND $A \in p$ THEN A CONTAINS ARBITRARILY LONG ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS.

SO, VAN DER WAERDEN'S THEOREM FOLLOWS ONCE WE FIND A MINIMAL IDEMPOTENT.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM

WRITE $N = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$

DEFINE $\varphi_n : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ BY

$$\varphi_n(a, d) = a + nd$$

NOTE $\{a, a+d, \dots, a+nd\} \in A$

IFF $(a, d) \in \varphi_0^{-1}[A] \cap \varphi_1^{-1}[A] \cap \dots \cap \varphi_n^{-1}[A]$

TO FIND A PROPER A.P. GET $d \geq 1$.

WE FIND A MINIMAL IDEMPOTENT e

IN $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})^*$ SUCH THAT $(\forall n)(\varphi_n(e) = p)$

- $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \in e$ (BY MINIMALITY)
 - FOR ALL n WE HAVE $\varphi_n^{-1}[A] \in e$
- AND SO FOR ALL n WE GET

$$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \cap \bigcap_{i \leq n} \varphi_i^{-1}[A] \neq \emptyset$$

SO THERE.

EXISTENCE OF MINIMAL IDEMPOTENTS

ABSTRACT SETTING:

$(S, *)$ COMPACT SEMIGROUP SUCH THAT $\varphi_x : y \mapsto y * x$ IS ALWAYS CONTINUOUS.

- LEFT IDEAL L : FOR ALL x ONE HAS $x * L \subseteq L$.
- EVERY LEFT IDEAL CONTAINS A CLOSED LEFT IDEAL: $x \in L \rightarrow S * x \subseteq L$
- ZORN: MINIMAL LEFT IDEALS EXIST AND THEY ARE CLOSED; HENCE THEY CONTAIN IDEMPOTENTS.
- IF L IS A MINIMAL LEFT IDEAL AND $p \in L$ IS AN IDEMPOTENT THEN p IS MINIMAL.

NOTE: $L = S * p$ AND IF $x \in L$ THEN

$$\begin{aligned}
 x * p = x & : \text{ FOR } x = y * p \text{ SO } x * p = y * p * p \\
 & = y * p \\
 & = x
 \end{aligned}$$

IF $q \leq p$, I.E., $q = q * p = p * q$
 THEN $q \in L$, SO $S * q = L$ AND,
 AS ABOVE, $x * q = x$ FOR $x \in L$.

WE GET $q = q * p = p * q = p$.

1. Fix a minimal idempotent p in \mathbb{N}^*
2. $\Gamma = \{x \in (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})^* : (\forall n) (\varphi_n(x) = p)\}$
 is a compact semigroup
 pick a minimal idempotent e in Γ .
3. e is minimal in $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})^*$
 IF $f + e = e + f = f$ THEN

$$\varphi_n(f) + p = p + \varphi_n(f) = \varphi_n(f)$$
 AND $\varphi_n(f) + \varphi_n(f) = \varphi_n(f)$, SO $\varphi_n(f) = p$.
 IT FOLLOWS THAT $f \in \Gamma$ AND HENCE $f = e$.

4. $T = \{ x : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \in x \}$ IS A TWO-SIDED IDEAL: IF $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ THEN $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} - (n, m) = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ AND, ALSO, $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} - (n, 0) = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

SO $x + y, y + x \in T$ IF $x \in T$.

5. $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})^* + e \cap T \neq \emptyset$:

IF $x \in T$ THEN $x + e$ BELONGS TO THE INTERSECTION

6. THE INTERSECTION IS A LEFT-IDEAL SO $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})^* + e \subseteq T$ AND HENCE, FINALLY, $e = e + e \in T$.

LITERATURE

NEIL HINDMAN AND DONA STRAUSS,
ALGEBRA IN THE STONE-ČECH -
COMPACTIFICATION.

DE GRUYTER EXPOSITIONS IN MATHEMATICS 27
WALTER DE GRUYTER (1998).