# A tale of three Boolean algebras Non impeditus ab ulla scientia

K. P. Hart

Faculty EEMCS TU Delft

Delft, 13 November, 2008: 16:00-17:00





#### Outline

- 1 The three algebras
- 2 No difference
- 3 Large differences
- 4 Topology
- Other relations
- **6** Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\textit{fin}$





#### Outline

- 1 The three algebras
- 2 No difference
- 3 Large differences
- 4 Topology
- 5 Other relations
- **6** Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fir}$





Our starting point is  $\mathcal{B}$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of [0,1].



Our starting point is  $\mathcal{B}$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of [0,1].

It has two natural ideals





Our starting point is  $\mathcal{B}$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of [0,1].

It has two natural ideals:

ullet  $\mathcal{M}$ , the sets of first category





Our starting point is  $\mathcal{B}$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of [0,1].

It has two natural ideals:

- ullet  $\mathcal{M}$ , the sets of first category
- ullet  ${\cal N}$ , the sets of measure zero





Our starting point is  $\mathcal{B}$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of [0,1].

It has two natural ideals:

- ullet  $\mathcal{M}$ , the sets of first category
- ullet  ${\cal N}$ , the sets of measure zero

Ideal: closed under finite unions and taking subsets.





Our starting point is  $\mathcal{B}$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of [0,1].

It has two natural ideals:

- ullet  $\mathcal{M}$ , the sets of first category
- ullet  ${\cal N}$ , the sets of measure zero

Ideal: closed under finite unions and taking subsets.

 $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are even  $\sigma$ -ideals: closed under countable unions.





The three algebras
No difference
Large differences
Topology
Other relations
Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$ 

#### Category algebra

The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ .





The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ . That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{M}$ 





The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ .

That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{M}$ 

It is isomorphic to the regular open algebra of [0,1].





The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ .

That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{M}$ 

It is isomorphic to the regular open algebra of [0,1].





The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ . That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{M}$ 

It is isomorphic to the regular open algebra of [0,1].

This follows from

•  $\{A : A \text{ is equivalent to an open set}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra





The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ .

That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{M}$ 

It is isomorphic to the regular open algebra of [0,1].

- $\{A: A \text{ is equivalent to an open set}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, hence
- every Borel set is equivalent to an open set





The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ .

That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{M}$ 

It is isomorphic to the regular open algebra of [0,1].

- $\{A: A \text{ is equivalent to an open set}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, hence
- every Borel set is equivalent to an open set
- if O is open then it is equivalent to int cl O





The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ .

That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{M}$ 

It is isomorphic to the regular open algebra of [0,1].

- $\{A:A \text{ is equivalent to an open set}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, hence
- every Borel set is equivalent to an open set
- if O is open then it is equivalent to int cl O
- a regular open set O = int cl O is only equivalent to itself





The Category algebra,  $\mathbb{C}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{M}$ .

That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{M}$ 

It is isomorphic to the regular open algebra of [0,1].

- $\{A:A \text{ is equivalent to an open set}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, hence
- every Borel set is equivalent to an open set
- if O is open then it is equivalent to int cl O
- a regular open set O = int cl O is only equivalent to itself

Operations: 
$$U \lor V = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl}(U \cup V)$$
,  $U \land V = U \cap V$  and  $U' = [0, 1] \setminus \operatorname{cl} U$ .





The Measure algebra,  $\mathbb{M}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N}$ .





The Measure algebra,  $\mathbb{M}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N}$ . That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{N}$ 





The Measure algebra,  $\mathbb{M}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N}$ .

That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{N}$ 

It is not isomorphic to any easily recognizable algebra.





The Measure algebra,  $\mathbb{M}$ , is the quotient algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N}$ .

That is,  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \triangle B \in \mathcal{N}$ 

It is not isomorphic to any easily recognizable algebra.

Unless you know  $\mathbb M$  very well, in which case ...





This is clearly a quotient: the power set of  $\mathbb N$  modulo the ideal, *fin*, of finite sets.





This is clearly a quotient: the power set of  $\mathbb{N}$  modulo the ideal, *fin*, of finite sets.





This is clearly a quotient: the power set of  $\mathbb N$  modulo the ideal,  $\mathit{fin}$ , of finite sets.

• 
$$A = B$$
 for  $A \triangle B \in fin$ 





This is clearly a quotient: the power set of  $\mathbb{N}$  modulo the ideal, *fin*, of finite sets.

- A = B for  $A \triangle B \in fin$
- $A \subseteq^* B$  for  $A \setminus B \in fin$





This is clearly a quotient: the power set of  $\mathbb N$  modulo the ideal,  $\mathit{fin}$ , of finite sets.

- A = B for  $A \triangle B \in fin$
- $A \subseteq^* B$  for  $A \setminus B \in fin$
- etcetera





This is clearly a quotient: the power set of  $\mathbb{N}$  modulo the ideal, *fin*, of finite sets.

Some common notations in this case

- A = B for  $A \triangle B \in fin$
- $A \subseteq^* B$  for  $A \setminus B \in fin$
- etcetera

Also  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  is not isomorphic to an easily recognizable algebra





This is clearly a quotient: the power set of  $\mathbb{N}$  modulo the ideal, *fin*, of finite sets.

Some common notations in this case

- A = B for  $A \triangle B \in fin$
- $A \subseteq^* B$  for  $A \setminus B \in fin$
- etcetera

Also  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  is not isomorphic to an easily recognizable algebra, unless of course . . .





The algebras correspond to three 'familiar' objects from Fucntional Analysis.





The algebras correspond to three 'familiar' objects from Fucntional Analysis.

ullet C goes with the Dedekind completion of Cig([0,1]ig)





The algebras correspond to three 'familiar' objects from Fucntional Analysis.

- ullet C goes with the Dedekind completion of C([0,1])
- ullet M goes with  $L_{\infty}[0,1]$





The algebras correspond to three 'familiar' objects from Fucntional Analysis.

- ullet C goes with the Dedekind completion of C([0,1])
- ullet M goes with  $L_{\infty}[0,1]$
- ullet  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  goes with  $\ell_{\infty}/\mathit{c}_{0}$





The algebras correspond to three 'familiar' objects from Fucntional Analysis.

- ullet C goes with the Dedekind completion of C([0,1])
- ullet M goes with  $L_{\infty}[0,1]$
- ullet  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  goes with  $\ell_{\infty}/\mathit{c}_{0}$

We'll see how that works later.





#### Outline

- 1 The three algebras
- 2 No difference
- 3 Large differences
- 4 Topology
- 5 Other relations
- **6** Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$





The three algebras No difference Large differences Topology Other relations Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$ 

#### Tarski's theorem

All three algebras are atomless.





### Tarski's theorem

All three algebras are atomless.

This means that they are elementarily equivalent.





### Tarski's theorem

All three algebras are atomless.

This means that they are elementarily equivalent.

That is, they satisfy the exact same Boolean algebraic sentences.





### Tarski's theorem

All three algebras are atomless.

This means that they are elementarily equivalent.

That is, they satisfy the exact same Boolean algebraic sentences.

This was proved by Tarski in the 1930's.





### Outline

- The three algebras
- 2 No difference
- 3 Large differences
- 4 Topology
- Other relations
- **6** Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fir}$





#### Theorem

The algebra  $\mathbb C$  is the union of countably many filters





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathbb C$  is the union of countably many filters:

For each rational q the family

$$\mathcal{F}_q = \{U : q \in U\}$$

is a filter





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathbb C$  is the union of countably many filters:

For each rational q the family

$$\mathcal{F}_q = \{U : q \in U\}$$

is a filter and

$$\mathbb{C} = \bigcup \big\{ \mathcal{F}_q : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1] \big\}$$





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathbb C$  is the union of countably many filters:

For each rational q the family

$$\mathcal{F}_q = \{U : q \in U\}$$

is a filter and

$$\mathbb{C} = \bigcup \big\{ \mathcal{F}_q : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1] \big\}$$

We say  $\mathbb C$  is  $\sigma$ -centered





#### **Theorem**

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is not  $\sigma$ -centered.





#### Theorem

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is not  $\sigma$ -centered.

Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a family of filters in  $\mathbb{M}$ 





#### Theorem

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is not  $\sigma$ -centered.

Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a family of filters in  $\mathbb{M}$ ; we assume they are ultrafilters.





#### Theorem

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is not  $\sigma$ -centered.

Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a family of filters in  $\mathbb{M}$ ; we assume they are ultrafilters.

For each n pick  $B_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of measure less that  $3^{-n}$ . (Think of the intervals  $[i3^{-n}, (i+1)3^{-n})$  for  $i < 3^n$ .)





#### Theorem

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is not  $\sigma$ -centered.

Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a family of filters in  $\mathbb{M}$ ; we assume they are ultrafilters.

For each n pick  $B_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of measure less that  $3^{-n}$ . (Think of the intervals  $[i3^{-n}, (i+1)3^{-n})$  for  $i < 3^n$ .)

The union  $\bigcup_n B_n$  has measure at most  $\frac{1}{2}$ 





#### Theorem

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is not  $\sigma$ -centered.

Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a family of filters in  $\mathbb{M}$ ; we assume they are ultrafilters.

For each n pick  $B_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of measure less that  $3^{-n}$ . (Think of the intervals  $[i3^{-n}, (i+1)3^{-n})$  for  $i < 3^n$ .)

The union  $\bigcup_n B_n$  has measure at most  $\frac{1}{2}$ ; its complement belongs to no  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

#### **Theorem**

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is  $\sigma$ -linked.





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is  $\sigma$ -linked.

For each rational interval [p, q) put

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,q} = \left\{ B : \mu(B \cap [p,q)) > \frac{1}{2}(q-p) \right\}$$





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is  $\sigma$ -linked.

For each rational interval [p, q) put

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,q} = \left\{ B : \mu(B \cap [p,q)) > \frac{1}{2}(q-p) \right\}$$

if  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  then  $\mu(B_1 \cap B_2) > 0$ , so  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  is linked.





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathbb{M}$  is  $\sigma$ -linked.

For each rational interval [p, q) put

$$\mathcal{L}_{p,q} = \left\{ B : \mu \left( B \cap [p,q) \right) > \frac{1}{2} (q-p) \right\}$$

if  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  then  $\mu(B_1 \cap B_2) > 0$ , so  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  is linked.

 $\mathbb{M}$  is the union of the countably many  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$ .





#### Theorem

The algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$  has a pairwise disjont subset of size  $|\mathbb{R}|$ .





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$  has a pairwise disjont subset of size  $|\mathbb{R}|$ .

Sierpiński: if x is irrational and larger than 1 put

$$S_{x} = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \lfloor nx \rfloor : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/$  fin has a pairwise disjont subset of size  $|\mathbb{R}|$ .

Sierpiński: if x is irrational and larger than 1 put

$$S_{x} = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \lfloor nx \rfloor : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

•  $S_x$  is (the range of) a sequence of rational numbers that converges to x.





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/$  fin has a pairwise disjont subset of size  $|\mathbb{R}|$ .

Sierpiński: if x is irrational and larger than 1 put

$$S_{x} = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \lfloor nx \rfloor : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

- $S_x$  is (the range of) a sequence of rational numbers that converges to x.
- if  $x \neq y$  then  $S_x \cap S_y =^* \emptyset$ .





#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

The algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/$  fin has a pairwise disjont subset of size  $|\mathbb{R}|$ .

Sierpiński: if x is irrational and larger than 1 put

$$S_{x} = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \lfloor nx \rfloor : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

- $S_x$  is (the range of) a sequence of rational numbers that converges to x.
- if  $x \neq y$  then  $S_x \cap S_y =^* \emptyset$ .
- so  $\{S_x : x > 0 \text{ and } x \text{ irrational}\}$  gives the set we seek.





### Outline

- The three algebras
- 2 No difference
- 3 Large differences
- 4 Topology
- Other relations
- **6** Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fir}$





### Stone space

If B is a Boolean algebra then we let St(B) denote the set of ultrafilters on B.





### Stone space

If B is a Boolean algebra then we let St(B) denote the set of ultrafilters on B.

For 
$$a \in B$$
 put  $\bar{a} = \{u \in St(B) : a \in u\}$ 





### Stone space

If B is a Boolean algebra then we let St(B) denote the set of ultrafilters on B.

For 
$$a \in B$$
 put  $\bar{a} = \{u \in St(B) : a \in u\}$ 

 $\{\bar{a}: a \in B\}$  is a base for a topology on St(B) that is zero-dimensional compact Hausdorff and has  $\{\bar{a}: a \in B\}$  as its family of clopen sets.





 ${\color{red} \bullet}$   $\mathsf{St}(\mathbb{C})$  is separable





- $St(\mathbb{C})$  is separable
- ullet St(M) is not separable





- $St(\mathbb{C})$  is separable
- $\bullet$   $\mathsf{St}(\mathbb{M})$  is not separable but it satisfies the countable chain condition





- $St(\mathbb{C})$  is separable
- St(M) is not separable but it satisfies the countable chain condition
- $\bullet$   $\mathsf{St}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin})$  does not satisfy the countable chain condition





The three algebras No difference Large differences Topology Other relations Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$ 

### Three Banach algebras

As promised:





### Three Banach algebras

### As promised:

ullet  $C(\operatorname{St}(\mathbb{C}))$  is the Dedekind completion of C([0,1])





### Three Banach algebras

### As promised:

- $C(St(\mathbb{C}))$  is the Dedekind completion of C([0,1])
- $C(St(\mathbb{M}))$  is  $L_{\infty}[0,1]$





## Three Banach algebras

### As promised:

- $C(St(\mathbb{C}))$  is the Dedekind completion of C([0,1])
- $C(St(\mathbb{M}))$  is  $L_{\infty}[0,1]$
- $C(\operatorname{St}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\operatorname{fin}))$  is  $\ell_{\infty}/c_0$





### Outline

- The three algebras
- 2 No difference
- 3 Large differences
- 4 Topology
- Other relations
- **6** Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fir}$





The three algebras No difference Large differences Topology Other relations Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$ 

### **Embeddability**

We investigate mutual embeddability of the algebras.





The three algebras No difference Large differences Topology Other relations Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$ 

### **Embeddability**

We investigate mutual embeddability of the algebras. Useful observation:





### **Embeddability**

We investigate mutual embeddability of the algebras. Useful observation:

#### Theorem

B is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A iff St(B) is a continuous image of St(A)





### **Embeddability**

We investigate mutual embeddability of the algebras. Useful observation:

#### $\mathsf{Theorem}$

B is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A iff St(B) is a continuous image of St(A)

So  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  cannot be embedded into  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ ,





### **Embeddability**

We investigate mutual embeddability of the algebras. Useful observation:

#### Theorem

B is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A iff St(B) is a continuous image of St(A)

So  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  cannot be embedded into  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{M}$  cannot be embedded into  $\mathbb{C}$ .





The three algebras No difference Large differences Topology Other relations Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$ 

#### $\mathbb{C}$ into $\mathbb{M}$ ?

Yes.





Yes.

A false proof would be to restrict the qutient homomrphism  $q:\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{M}$  to the regular open sets.





Yes.

A false proof would be to restrict the qutient homomrphism  $q:\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{M}$  to the regular open sets. Why?





Yes.

A false proof would be to restrict the qutient homomrphism  $q:\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{M}$  to the regular open sets.

Why?

We can have disjoint regular open sets U and V such that  $\mu(U \cup V) < 1$  yet  $U \cup V$  is dense in [0,1].





Yes.

A false proof would be to restrict the qutient homomrphism  $q:\mathcal{B}\to\mathbb{M}$  to the regular open sets.

Why?

We can have disjoint regular open sets U and V such that  $\mu(U \cup V) < 1$  yet  $U \cup V$  is dense in [0,1].

Then  $U \vee V = 1$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , but  $q(U) \vee q(V) < 1$  in  $\mathbb{M}$ .





A correct proof is by transfinite recursion, using the completeness of  $\mathbb M$  to find new values at each step.





A correct proof is by transfinite recursion, using the completeness of  $\mathbb{M}$  to find new values at each step.

Start with the natural inclusion of the algebra generated by the rational intervals.





A correct proof is by transfinite recursion, using the completeness of  $\mathbb{M}$  to find new values at each step.

Start with the natural inclusion of the algebra generated by the rational intervals.

Sikorski's extension criterion applies at each step.





#### Outline

- The three algebras
- 2 No difference
- 3 Large differences
- Topology
- Other relations
- **6** Embedding into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$





#### Parovičenko's theorem

#### Theorem

Every Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  (or less) embeds into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$ .





#### Parovičenko's theorem

#### Theorem

Every Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  (or less) embeds into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$ .

Hence the Continuum Hypothesis implies that  $\mathbb C$  and  $\mathbb M$  can be embedded into  $\mathcal P(\mathbb N)/\text{fin}$ .





#### Parovičenko's theorem

#### **Theorem**

Every Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  (or less) embeds into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\text{fin}$ .

Hence the Continuum Hypothesis implies that  $\mathbb C$  and  $\mathbb M$  can be embedded into  $\mathcal P(\mathbb N)/\text{fin}$ .

Do we need the Continuum Hypothesis?





For  $\mathbb C$  we don't need CH; let  $Q=\mathbb Q\cap [0,1]$ 



For  $\mathbb C$  we don't need CH; let  $Q=\mathbb Q\cap [0,1]$ 

• Let  $\{V_q: q \in Q\}$  partition  $\mathbb N$  into infinite sets.





For  $\mathbb C$  we don't need CH; let  $Q=\mathbb Q\cap [0,1]$ 

- Let  $\{V_q: q \in Q\}$  partition  $\mathbb N$  into infinite sets.
- Define  $\Phi(U) = \bigcup \{V_q : q \in Q \cap U\}$  (*U* regular open).





For  $\mathbb C$  we don't need CH; let  $Q=\mathbb Q\cap [0,1]$ 

- Let  $\{V_q: q \in Q\}$  partition  $\mathbb N$  into infinite sets.
- Define  $\Phi(U) = \bigcup \{V_q : q \in Q \cap U\}$  (*U* regular open).
- $\phi: U \mapsto \Phi(U)/fin$  is an embedding.





Assume  $\phi: \mathbb{M} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  is an embedding and take a lifting

$$\Phi:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$$





Assume  $\phi: \mathbb{M} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  is an embedding and take a lifting

$$\Phi:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$$

That is:  $\phi([B]_{\mathcal{N}}) = [\Phi(B)]_{fin}$  for all B.





Assume  $\phi: \mathbb{M} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  is an embedding and take a lifting

$$\Phi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$$

That is:  $\phi([B]_{\mathcal{N}}) = [\Phi(B)]_{fin}$  for all B.

Also 
$$\Phi(A \cap B) =^* \Phi(A) \cap \Phi(B)$$
, etc.





Assume  $\phi: \mathbb{M} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/\mathit{fin}$  is an embedding and take a lifting

$$\Phi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$$

That is:  $\phi([B]_{\mathcal{N}}) = [\Phi(B)]_{fin}$  for all B.

Also 
$$\Phi(A \cap B) =^* \Phi(A) \cap \Phi(B)$$
, etc.

We can ensure equality on the (countable) algebra C generated by the rational intervals.





For convenience replace [0,1] by  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}.$ 





For convenience replace [0,1] by  $\mathbb{R}$ . Enumerate  $\Phi([n,n+1))$  as  $\langle k(n,i):i\in\mathbb{N}\rangle$ 



For convenience replace [0,1] by  $\mathbb{R}$ . Enumerate  $\Phi([n,n+1))$  as  $\langle k(n,i) : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ 

Choose  $m(n, i) < 3^i$  such that

$$k(n,i) \in \Phi(n + [m(n,i)3^{-i}, (m(n,i)+1)3^{-i}))$$





For convenience replace [0,1] by  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Enumerate  $\Phiig([n,n+1)ig)$  as  $\langle k(n,i):i\in\mathbb{N}
angle$ 

Choose  $m(n, i) < 3^i$  such that

$$k(n,i) \in \Phi(n+[m(n,i)3^{-i},(m(n,i)+1)3^{-i}))$$

and put

$$U_n = n + \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [m(n, i)3^{-i}, (m(n, i) + 1)3^{-i})$$





For convenience replace [0,1] by  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Enumerate  $\Phi([n, n+1))$  as  $\langle k(n, i) : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ 

Choose  $m(n, i) < 3^i$  such that

$$k(n,i) \in \Phi(n+[m(n,i)3^{-i},(m(n,i)+1)3^{-i}))$$

and put

$$U_n = n + \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [m(n,i)3^{-i}, (m(n,i)+1)3^{-i})$$

Observe 
$$\mu(U_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 3^{-i} = \frac{1}{2}$$





Let 
$$U = \bigcup_n U_n$$
 and  $F = \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ .





Let 
$$U = \bigcup_n U_n$$
 and  $F = \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ .

• Then 
$$\mu(F \cap [n, n+1)) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$
 for all  $n$ 





Let 
$$U = \bigcup_n U_n$$
 and  $F = \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ .

- Then  $\mu(F \cap [n, n+1)) \ge \frac{1}{2}$  for all n
- So  $\Phi(F) \cap \Phi([n, n+1))$  is infinite.





Let  $U = \bigcup_n U_n$  and  $F = \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ .

- Then  $\mu(F \cap [n, n+1)) \ge \frac{1}{2}$  for all n
- So  $\Phi(F) \cap \Phi([n, n+1))$  is infinite.

Take the first  $i_n$  with  $k(n, i_n) \in \Phi(F)$  and put





Let  $U = \bigcup_n U_n$  and  $F = \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ .

- Then  $\mu(F \cap [n, n+1)) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  for all n
- So  $\Phi(F) \cap \Phi([n, n+1))$  is infinite.

Take the first  $i_n$  with  $k(n, i_n) \in \Phi(F)$  and put

$$I_n = n + [m(n, i_n)3^{-i_n}, (m(n, i_n) + 1)3^{-i_n})$$





• On the one hand  $\bigcup_n I_n \cap F = \emptyset$ , hence  $\Phi(\bigcup_n I_n) \cap \Phi(F) =^* \emptyset$ .





- On the one hand  $\bigcup_n I_n \cap F = \emptyset$ , hence  $\Phi(\bigcup_n I_n) \cap \Phi(F) =^* \emptyset$ .
- On the other hand:  $\bigcup_n \Phi(I_n) \cap \Phi(F) \neq^* \emptyset$





- On the one hand  $\bigcup_n I_n \cap F = \emptyset$ , hence  $\Phi(\bigcup_n I_n) \cap \Phi(F) =^* \emptyset$ .
- On the other hand:  $\bigcup_n \Phi(I_n) \cap \Phi(F) \neq^* \emptyset$  (it contains  $\{k(n, i_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ).





- On the one hand  $\bigcup_n I_n \cap F = \emptyset$ , hence  $\Phi(\bigcup_n I_n) \cap \Phi(F) =^* \emptyset$ .
- On the other hand:  $\bigcup_n \Phi(I_n) \cap \Phi(F) \neq^* \emptyset$  (it contains  $\{k(n, i_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ).

So, if  $\Phi$  is an embedding of C into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  then there will be sequence like that of the  $I_n$  above.





- On the one hand  $\bigcup_n I_n \cap F = \emptyset$ , hence  $\Phi(\bigcup_n I_n) \cap \Phi(F) =^* \emptyset$ .
- On the other hand:  $\bigcup_n \Phi(I_n) \cap \Phi(F) \neq^* \emptyset$  (it contains  $\{k(n, i_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ).

So, if  $\Phi$  is an embedding of C into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  then there will be sequence like that of the  $I_n$  above.

That is, with  $\Phi(\bigcup_n I_n) \neq^* \bigcup_n \Phi(I_n)$ .





### OCA

If X is separable and metrizable and  $X^2 = K_0 \cup K_1$  with  $K_0$  open and symmetric then





### OCA

If X is separable and metrizable and  $X^2 = K_0 \cup K_1$  with  $K_0$  open and symmetric then

• either there is an uncountable Y such that  $Y^2 \subseteq K_0$ 





#### **OCA**

If X is separable and metrizable and  $X^2 = K_0 \cup K_1$  with  $K_0$  open and symmetric then

- ullet either there is an uncountable Y such that  $Y^2\subseteq K_0$
- or  $X = \bigcup_n X_n$  with  $X_n^2 \subseteq K_1$  for all n





#### **OCA**

If X is separable and metrizable and  $X^2 = K_0 \cup K_1$  with  $K_0$  open and symmetric then

- ullet either there is an uncountable Y such that  $Y^2\subseteq K_0$
- or  $X = \bigcup_n X_n$  with  $X_n^2 \subseteq K_1$  for all n

This contradicts CH but it is consistent with the usual axioms of Set Theory.





The Open Colouring Axiom implies:





The Open Colouring Axiom implies:

If there is an embedding of  $\mathbb M$  into  $\mathcal P(\mathbb N)/\mathit{fin}$ 





The Open Colouring Axiom implies:

If there is an embedding of  $\mathbb M$  into  $\mathcal P(\mathbb N)/\mathit{fin}$  then there are an embedding  $\psi$  and a lifting  $\Psi$  such that





The Open Colouring Axiom implies:

If there is an embedding of  $\mathbb M$  into  $\mathcal P(\mathbb N)/\mathit{fin}$  then there are an embedding  $\psi$  and a lifting  $\Psi$  such that

•  $\Psi$  embeds C into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ 





The Open Colouring Axiom implies:

If there is an embedding of  $\mathbb M$  into  $\mathcal P(\mathbb N)/\mathit{fin}$  then there are an embedding  $\psi$  and a lifting  $\Psi$  such that

- $\Psi$  embeds C into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$
- $\bigcup_n \Psi(I_n) = \Psi(\bigcup_n I_n)$  for all sequences of intervals as above.





The Open Colouring Axiom implies:

If there is an embedding of  $\mathbb M$  into  $\mathcal P(\mathbb N)/\mathit{fin}$  then there are an embedding  $\psi$  and a lifting  $\Psi$  such that

- $\Psi$  embeds C into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$
- $\bigcup_n \Psi(I_n) = \Psi(\bigcup_n I_n)$  for all sequences of intervals as above.

Which means that OCA implies there are no embeddings at all.



