# Algebraic Topology, but not as you know it Non impeditus ab ulla scientia

K. P. Hart

Faculty EEMCS TU Delft

Keten in Nunspeet, 27 May, 2010: 13:30 - 14:25



- 4 同 2 4 三 2 4 三 2 4

# Outline

- Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices
  - Wallman's construction
  - Duality

#### 2 What's the use?

- Homeomorphisms
- Embeddings
- Onto mappings
- 8 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

#### Space to lattice

#### Take a topological space X



Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construct Duality

#### Space to lattice

#### Take a topological space X; it comes with a lattice



▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □

Wallman's construction Duality

#### Space to lattice

# Take a topological space X; it comes with a lattice: $2^X$ , the family of closed sets



#### Space to lattice

Take a topological space X; it comes with a lattice:  $2^X$ , the family of closed sets, with  $\cap$  and  $\cup$  as its operations.



#### Space to lattice

Take a topological space X; it comes with a lattice:  $2^X$ , the family of closed sets, with  $\cap$  and  $\cup$  as its operations.

This lattice is *distributive* with o and 1.



Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

#### Lattice to space

Let L be a distributive lattice with o and 1.



日本・モン・

Wallman's construction Duality

#### Lattice to space

Let L be a distributive lattice with o and 1.

Can we find a space to go with L?



#### Lattice to space

Let L be a distributive lattice with o and 1.

Can we find a space to go with L?

Yes we can!



#### Lattice to space

Let L be a distributive lattice with o and 1.

Can we find a space to go with L?

Yes we can! (To quote Bob the Builder)



Wallman's construction Duality

# Outline

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

Sources

- Wallman's construction
- Duality
- 2 What's the use?
  - Homeomorphisms
  - Embeddings
  - Onto mappings
- 3 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





- 4 同 ト - 4 目 ト

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources

A *filter* on L is a nonempty subset u that satisfies

Ultrafilters

Wallman's construction Duality

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



Wallman's constructio Duality

# Ultrafilters

A *filter* on L is a nonempty subset u that satisfies

o ∉ u



- 4 同 6 4 三 6 4 三 6

Wallman's construction Duality

# Ultrafilters

A *filter* on L is a nonempty subset u that satisfies

- o ∉ u
- if  $x, y \in u$  then  $x \wedge y \in u0$



# Ultrafilters

A *filter* on L is a nonempty subset u that satisfies

- o ∉ u
- if  $x, y \in u$  then  $x \wedge y \in u0$
- if  $x \in u$  and  $y \ge x$  then  $y \in u$



Wallman's construction

# Ultrafilters

A filter on L is a nonempty subset u that satisfies

- o ∉ u
- if  $x, y \in u$  then  $x \wedge y \in u0$
- if  $x \in u$  and  $y \ge x$  then  $y \in u$

An *ultrafilter* on L is a filter that is maximal in the congeries of all filters, ordered by inclusion.



Wallman's construction

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

#### As to their existence ...

# I am definitely



- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 3

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

#### As to their existence ...

# I am definitely

### **PRO-CHOICE**



< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices What's the use?

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

#### As to their existence ...

# I am definitely

#### **PRO-CHOICE**

Pro-choice Propaganda why handicap yourself unnecessarily? Seriously. The product of nonempty stuff is nonempty. TIX; #ø  $(\cdot)$ ECOURTNEY GIBBONS 200.

Wallman's construction Duality

#### Wallman space

#### The Wallman space of L, denoted wL, is defined as follows



- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 3

#### Wallman space

The Wallman space of L, denoted wL, is defined as follows

• the points are the ultrafilters on L



▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □

Wallman's construction

#### Wallman space

The Wallman space of L, denoted wL, is defined as follows

- the points are the ultrafilters on L
- the sets  $a^* = \{u \in wL : a \in u\}$ , where  $a \in L$ , serve as a base for the *closed* sets



Wallman's construction

Wallman's construction Duality

### Properties

•  $(a \wedge b)^* = a^* \cap b^*$  and  $(a \vee b)^* = a^* \cup b^*$ (the latter needs 'ultra', or rather 'prime')

Sources



Wallman's construction Duality

#### Properties

•  $(a \land b)^* = a^* \cap b^*$  and  $(a \lor b)^* = a^* \cup b^*$ (the latter needs 'ultra', or rather 'prime')

Sources

• wL is compact



Wallman's construction Duality

# Properties

- $(a \wedge b)^* = a^* \cap b^*$  and  $(a \vee b)^* = a^* \cup b^*$ (the latter needs 'ultra', or rather 'prime')
- wL is compact
- points are closed (*wL* is a  $T_1$ -space):  $\{u\} = \bigcap \{a^* : a \in u\}$



Wallman's construction Duality

# Properties

- $(a \land b)^* = a^* \cap b^*$  and  $(a \lor b)^* = a^* \cup b^*$ (the latter needs 'ultra', or rather 'prime')
- wL is compact
- points are closed (*wL* is a  $T_1$ -space):  $\{u\} = \bigcap \{a^* : a \in u\}$
- a → a<sup>\*</sup> is not always injective, e.g.,
   if L = [√2, π] then wL consists of just one point

Sources



Wallman's construction Duality

# Properties

- $(a \land b)^* = a^* \cap b^*$  and  $(a \lor b)^* = a^* \cup b^*$ (the latter needs 'ultra', or rather 'prime')
- wL is compact
- points are closed (*wL* is a  $T_1$ -space):  $\{u\} = \bigcap \{a^* : a \in u\}$
- $a \mapsto a^*$  is not always injective, e.g., if  $L = [\sqrt{2}, \pi]$  then *wL* consists of just one point

Sources

• isomorphism iff

 $a \nleq b$  implies there is c > 0 such that  $c \leqslant a$  and  $c \land b = 0$ (*L* is said to be separative)



#### Hausdorff

The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,



K. P. Hart Algebraic Topology, but not as you know it

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Hausdorff

The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,

 $a \wedge b = 0$  implies there are p and q such that



- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 3

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality Hausdorff

#### The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,

 $a \wedge b = 0$  implies there are p and q such that

 $a \wedge p = 0$ ,



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

#### Hausdorff

The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,

 $a \wedge b = 0$  implies there are p and q such that

$$a \wedge p = 0, \quad b \wedge q = 0$$



- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 3

#### Hausdorff

The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,

 $a \wedge b = 0$  implies there are p and q such that

$$a \wedge p = 0, \quad b \wedge q = 0, \text{ and } p \vee q = 1$$



- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 3

#### Hausdorff

The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,

 $a \wedge b = 0$  implies there are p and q such that

$$a \wedge p = 0$$
,  $b \wedge q = 0$ , and  $p \vee q = 1$ 

Think of normality for topological spaces formulated in terms of closed sets only



### Hausdorff

The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,

 $a \wedge b = 0$  implies there are p and q such that

$$a \wedge p = 0$$
,  $b \wedge q = 0$ , and  $p \vee q = 1$ 

Think of normality for topological spaces formulated in terms of closed sets only (the complements of p and q are disjoint neighbourhoods of a and b respectively).



#### Hausdorff

The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,

 $a \wedge b = 0$  implies there are p and q such that

$$a \wedge p = 0$$
,  $b \wedge q = 0$ , and  $p \vee q = 1$ 

Think of normality for topological spaces formulated in terms of closed sets only (the complements of p and q are disjoint neighbourhoods of a and b respectively).

From now on: all spaces compact Hausdorff and

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices Wallman's construction Reflections on dimension

### Hausdorff

The space wL is Hausdorff iff L is normal, i.e.,

 $a \wedge b = 0$  implies there are p and q such that

$$a \wedge p = 0$$
,  $b \wedge q = 0$ , and  $p \vee q = 1$ 

Think of normality for topological spaces formulated in terms of closed sets only (the complements of p and q are disjoint neighbourhoods of aand b respectively).

From now on: all spaces compact Hausdorff and all lattices distributive, separative, normal and with o and 1.



Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices What's the use?

Wallman's construction Duality

# Outline

#### Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

Sources

Reflections on dimension

- Wallman's construction
- Duality

#### 2 What's the use?

- Homeomorphisms
- Embeddings
- Onto mappings
- 3 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources

Wallman's construction Duality

### **Duality**?

Clearly 
$$X = w(2^X)$$
:



(ロ) (四) (三) (三)

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices What's the use?

Reflections on dimension

Wallman's construction Duality

### **Duality**?

Clearly  $X = w(2^X)$ :

X and  $w(2^X)$  both correspond to the set of atoms of  $2^X$ .



- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日

Wallman's construction Duality

# Duality?

Clearly  $X = w(2^X)$ :

X and  $w(2^X)$  both correspond to the set of atoms of  $2^X$ .

Sources

Also: a continuous  $f : X \to Y$  determines a homomorphism  $2^f : 2^Y \to 2^X$  by taking preimages:  $2^f(a) = f^{-1}[a]$ .



Wallman's construction Duality

# Duality?

Clearly  $X = w(2^X)$ :

X and  $w(2^X)$  both correspond to the set of atoms of  $2^X$ .

Sources

Also: a continuous  $f : X \to Y$  determines a homomorphism  $2^f : 2^Y \to 2^X$  by taking preimages:  $2^f(a) = f^{-1}[a]$ .

If f is injective then  $2^{f}$  is surjective



Wallman's construction Duality

# Duality?

Clearly  $X = w(2^X)$ :

X and  $w(2^X)$  both correspond to the set of atoms of  $2^X$ .

Sources

Also: a continuous  $f : X \to Y$  determines a homomorphism  $2^f : 2^Y \to 2^X$  by taking preimages:  $2^f(a) = f^{-1}[a]$ .

If f is injective then  $2^{f}$  is surjective

If f is surjective then  $2^{f}$  is injective



What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources

Wallman's construction Duality

#### **Duality**?

Clearly,  $L = 2^{wL}$ 



< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (1)</li>< (1)</

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources
Wallman's construction Duality
Duality?

Clearly,  $L = 2^{wL}$ , not!



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### **Duality**?

Clearly,  $L = 2^{wL}$ , not!

L and  $\{a^* : a \in L\}$  are isomorphic, but ...



- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日

# Duality?

Clearly,  $L = 2^{wL}$ , not!

L and  $\{a^*: a \in L\}$  are isomorphic, but . . .

 $\ldots \{a^* : a \in L\}$  is hardly ever the full family of closed sets of wL.



# Duality?

Clearly,  $L = 2^{wL}$ , not!

L and  $\{a^*: a \in L\}$  are isomorphic, but . . .

 $\ldots \{a^* : a \in L\}$  is hardly ever the full family of closed sets of wL.

#### Example

Let L be the lattice generated by the intervals in [0, 1] with rational end points.



# **Duality**?

Clearly,  $L = 2^{wL}$ , not!

L and  $\{a^*: a \in L\}$  are isomorphic, but . . .

 $\ldots \{a^* : a \in L\}$  is hardly ever the full family of closed sets of wL.

#### Example

Let *L* be the lattice generated by the intervals in [0, 1] with rational end points. Then wL = [0, 1], but *L* is countable and  $2^{[0,1]}$  is not.



What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources

Wallman's construction Duality

### **Duality**?

In fact



(ロ) (四) (三) (三)

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

### **Duality**?

#### In fact

#### Theorem

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a sublattice of  $2^X$  that is also a base for the closed sets of X then  $X = w\mathcal{B}$ .



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

### **Duality**?

#### In fact

#### Theorem

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a sublattice of  $2^X$  that is also a base for the closed sets of X then  $X = w\mathcal{B}$ .

So, every X generally corresponds to many lattices.



(日)

What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

# Duality?

#### In fact

#### Theorem

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a sublattice of  $2^X$  that is also a base for the closed sets of X then  $X = w\mathcal{B}$ .

So, every X generally corresponds to many lattices.

E.g., if X is compact metric then X corresponds to  $2^X$  but also to (various) countable lattices.



Wallman's construction Duality

One space, three lattices (at least)

Consider the unit interval [0, 1].



< □ > < □ > < □ >

Wallman's construction Duality

### One space, three lattices (at least)

Consider the unit interval [0, 1]. Here are three lattices for it:



(4回) (4 回) (4 回)

Wallman's construction Duality

### One space, three lattices (at least)

Consider the unit interval [0, 1]. Here are three lattices for it:

• 2<sup>[0,1]</sup>, full lattice of closed sets



Wallman's construction Duality

### One space, three lattices (at least)

Consider the unit interval [0,1]. Here are three lattices for it:

- 2<sup>[0,1]</sup>, full lattice of closed sets
- L, generated by intervals with rational end points



Wallman's construction Duality

### One space, three lattices (at least)

Consider the unit interval [0,1]. Here are three lattices for it:

- 2<sup>[0,1]</sup>, full lattice of closed sets
- L, generated by intervals with rational end points
- *M*, generated by  $\{[0,q] : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1]\}$  together with  $\{[\pi q,1] : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1/\pi)\}.$



Wallman's construction Duality

### One space, three lattices (at least)

Consider the unit interval [0,1]. Here are three lattices for it:

- 2<sup>[0,1]</sup>, full lattice of closed sets
- L, generated by intervals with rational end points
- *M*, generated by  $\{[0,q] : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1]\}$  together with  $\{[\pi q,1] : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1/\pi)\}.$

Spot the differences



Wallman's construction Duality

### One space, three lattices (at least)

Consider the unit interval [0,1]. Here are three lattices for it:

- 2<sup>[0,1]</sup>, full lattice of closed sets
- L, generated by intervals with rational end points
- *M*, generated by  $\{[0,q] : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1]\}$  together with  $\{[\pi q,1] : q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1/\pi)\}.$

Spot the differences

Cardinalities, (non-)existence of atoms ....



What's the use? Reflections on dimension Sources Wallman's construction Duality

### **Duality**?

# If $\varphi: L \to M$ is a homomorphism then it induces a continuous map $w\varphi: wM \to wL$ :



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

**Duality**?

If  $\varphi: L \to M$  is a homomorphism then it induces a continuous map  $w\varphi: wM \to wL$ :

Duality

Let  $u \in wM$ , then  $\{w\varphi(u)\} = \bigcap \{a^* : a \in L, \varphi(a) \in u\}.$ 

Sources



### **Duality**?

If  $\varphi: L \to M$  is a homomorphism then it induces a continuous map  $w\varphi: wM \to wL$ :

Duality

Let 
$$u \in wM$$
, then  $\{w\varphi(u)\} = \bigcap \{a^* : a \in L, \varphi(a) \in u\}.$ 

Sources

If  $\varphi$  is surjective then  $w\varphi$  is injective



Wallman's construction Duality

## Duality?

If  $\varphi: L \to M$  is a homomorphism then it induces a continuous map  $w\varphi: wM \to wL$ :

Let 
$$u \in wM$$
, then  $\{w\varphi(u)\} = \bigcap \{a^* : a \in L, \varphi(a) \in u\}.$ 

Sources

If  $\varphi$  is surjective then  $w\varphi$  is injective

If  $\varphi$  is injective then  $w\varphi$  is surjective



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Outline

#### Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

- Wallman's construction
- Duality

#### 2 What's the use?

#### Homeomorphisms

- Embeddings
- Onto mappings
- 3 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





(日)

Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

#### Homeomorphisms

To begin



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

#### Homeomorphisms

#### To begin

#### Theorem

If two spaces have isomorphic lattice-bases for the closed sets then they are homeomorphic.



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

#### Homeomorphisms

#### To begin

#### Theorem

If two spaces have isomorphic lattice-bases for the closed sets then they are homeomorphic.

Why is this useful?



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

#### Homeomorphisms

#### To begin

#### Theorem

If two spaces have isomorphic lattice-bases for the closed sets then they are homeomorphic.

Why is this useful?

Spaces have too many points ...



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

## The Cantor set

#### Theorem (Brouwer)

The Cantor set is the only compact metric space that is zero-dimensional and perfect.



### The Cantor set

Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

#### Theorem (Brouwer)

The Cantor set is the only compact metric space that is zero-dimensional and perfect.

• zero-dimensional: the clopen sets form a base



# The Cantor set

Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

#### Theorem (Brouwer)

The Cantor set is the only compact metric space that is zero-dimensional and perfect.

- zero-dimensional: the clopen sets form a base
- perfect: no isolated points



# The Cantor set

Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

#### Theorem (Brouwer)

The Cantor set is the only compact metric space that is zero-dimensional and perfect.

- zero-dimensional: the clopen sets form a base
- perfect: no isolated points

Too many points: continuum is way too many.



# The Cantor set

Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

The conditions imply that in any such space the clopen sets form a *countable* atomless Boolean algebra that is also a base for the closed sets.



# The Cantor set

Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

The conditions imply that in any such space the clopen sets form a *countable* atomless Boolean algebra that is also a base for the closed sets.

Any two such Boolean algebras are isomorphic, hence the corresponding spaces are homeomorphic.



# The Cantor set

Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

The conditions imply that in any such space the clopen sets form a *countable* atomless Boolean algebra that is also a base for the closed sets.

Any two such Boolean algebras are isomorphic, hence the corresponding spaces are homeomorphic.

The isomorphism can be constructed in a comfortably short recursion along the natural numbers.



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Outline

### Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

- Wallman's construction
- Duality

### 2 What's the use?

- Homeomorphisms
- Embeddings
- Onto mappings
- 3 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Urysohn's embedding theorem

#### Theorem

Every compact metric space can be embedded into the Hilbert cube  $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ .



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Urysohn's embedding theorem

#### Theorem

Every compact metric space can be embedded into the Hilbert cube  $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let X be compact metrizable, with a countable base  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for its closed sets. Take a metric d on X bounded by 1.



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Urysohn's embedding theorem

#### Theorem

Every compact metric space can be embedded into the Hilbert cube  $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let X be compact metrizable, with a countable base  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for its closed sets. Take a metric d on X bounded by 1. As a base for the closed sets of  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  we take the lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  generated by the strips  $S_{n,q} = \pi_n^{-1}[[0,q]]$  and  $T_{n,q} = \pi_n^{-1}[[q,1]]$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ .



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Urysohn's embedding theorem

The strips are independent enough to ensure the existence of a homomorphism

$$arphi:\mathcal{L} o 2^X$$

that satisfies  $\varphi : S_{n,q} \mapsto \{x : d(x, B_n) \leq q\}$  and  $\varphi : T_{n,q} \mapsto \{x : d(x, B_n) \geq q\}.$ 



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Urysohn's embedding theorem

The strips are independent enough to ensure the existence of a homomorphism

$$arphi:\mathcal{L}
ightarrow 2^X$$

that satisfies  $\varphi : S_{n,q} \mapsto \{x : d(x, B_n) \leq q\}$  and  $\varphi : T_{n,q} \mapsto \{x : d(x, B_n) \geq q\}.$ 

Thus,  $\varphi[\mathcal{L}]$  is a lattice-base for the closed sets of X.



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Urysohn's embedding theorem

The strips are independent enough to ensure the existence of a homomorphism

$$arphi:\mathcal{L}
ightarrow 2^X$$

that satisfies  $\varphi : S_{n,q} \mapsto \{x : d(x, B_n) \leq q\}$  and  $\varphi : T_{n,q} \mapsto \{x : d(x, B_n) \geq q\}.$ 

Thus,  $\varphi[\mathcal{L}]$  is a lattice-base for the closed sets of X.

Apply duality:  $w\varphi: X \to [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  is an embedding.



Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

# Outline

### Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

- Wallman's construction
- Duality

### 2 What's the use?

- Homeomorphisms
- Embeddings
- Onto mappings
- 8 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





· < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

# The Cantor set

Homeomorphisms Embeddings Onto mappings

A recursion, similar to that in the case of homeomorphisms, will produce an injective homomorphism from a given countable lattice into the clopen algebra of the Cantor set.



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Homeomorphisr Embeddings Onto mappings

# The Cantor set

A recursion, similar to that in the case of homeomorphisms, will produce an injective homomorphism from a given countable lattice into the clopen algebra of the Cantor set.

And so



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

e? Homeomorphism e? Embeddings Onto mappings

# The Cantor set

A recursion, similar to that in the case of homeomorphisms, will produce an injective homomorphism from a given countable lattice into the clopen algebra of the Cantor set.

#### And so

#### Theorem (Alexandroff/Hausdorff)

Every compact metric space is a continuous image of the Cantor set.



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# Outline

- Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices
  - Wallman's construction
  - Duality
- 2 What's the use?
  - Homeomorphisms
  - Embeddings
  - Onto mappings
- 3 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





· < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# Covering dimension

#### Definition (Lebesgue)

 $\dim X \leqslant n$  if every finite open cover has a (finite) open refinement of order at most n+1



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# Covering dimension

#### Definition (Lebesgue)

 $\dim X \leqslant n$  if every finite open cover has a (finite) open refinement of order at most n+1

(i.e., every n + 2-element subfamily has an empty intersection).

There is a convenient characterization.



イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# Covering dimension

#### Definition (Lebesgue)

 $\dim X \leqslant n$  if every finite open cover has a (finite) open refinement of order at most n+1

(i.e., every n + 2-element subfamily has an empty intersection).

There is a convenient characterization.

#### Theorem (Hemmingsen)

dim  $X \leq n$  iff every n + 2-element open cover has a shrinking with an empty intersection.



Delft University of Technology

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Covering dimension

We say dim X = n if dim  $X \leq n$  but dim  $X \leq n-1$ 



<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Covering dimension

We say dim X = n if dim  $X \leq n$  but dim  $X \leq n-1$ ; also, dim  $X = \infty$  means dim  $X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Covering dimension

We say dim X = n if dim  $X \leq n$  but dim  $X \nleq n - 1$ ; also, dim  $X = \infty$  means dim  $X \nleq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . dim X is the *covering dimension* of X.



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# Covering dimension

We say dim X = n if dim  $X \leq n$  but dim  $X \nleq n-1$ ; also, dim  $X = \infty$  means dim  $X \nleq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . dim X is the *covering dimension* of X.

#### Theorem

dim $[0,1]^n = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ .



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# Covering dimension

We say dim X = n if dim  $X \leq n$  but dim  $X \leq n-1$ ; also, dim  $X = \infty$  means dim  $X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . dim X is the *covering dimension* of X.

#### Theorem

dim $[0,1]^n = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Thus, dim helps in showing that all cubes are topologically distinct.



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Large inductive dimension

## Definition (Čech)

Ind  $X \leq n$  if between every two disjoint closed sets A and B there is a partition L that satisfies Ind  $L \leq n-1$ .



<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Large inductive dimension

### Definition (Čech)

Ind  $X \leq n$  if between every two disjoint closed sets A and B there is a partition L that satisfies  $\text{Ind } L \leq n-1$ . The starting point:  $\text{Ind } X \leq -1$  iff  $X = \emptyset$ .



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Large inductive dimension

#### Definition (Čech)

Ind  $X \leq n$  if between every two disjoint closed sets A and B there is a partition L that satisfies  $\text{Ind } L \leq n-1$ . The starting point:  $\text{Ind } X \leq -1$  iff  $X = \emptyset$ .

#### L is a partition between A and B means:



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Large inductive dimension

#### Definition (Čech)

Ind  $X \leq n$  if between every two disjoint closed sets A and B there is a partition L that satisfies  $\operatorname{Ind} L \leq n-1$ . The starting point:  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq -1$  iff  $X = \emptyset$ .

*L* is a partition between *A* and *B* means: there are closed sets *F* and *G* that cover *X* and satisfy:  $F \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $G \cap A = \emptyset$  and  $F \cap G = L$ .



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Large inductive dimension

We say  $\operatorname{Ind} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n-1$ 



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Large inductive dimension

We say  $\operatorname{Ind} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n-1$ ; also,  $\operatorname{Ind} X = \infty$  means  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Large inductive dimension

We say  $\operatorname{Ind} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n-1$ ; also,  $\operatorname{Ind} X = \infty$  means  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Ind X is the *large inductive dimension* of X.



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Large inductive dimension

We say  $\operatorname{Ind} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n-1$ ; also,  $\operatorname{Ind} X = \infty$  means  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Ind X is the *large inductive dimension* of X.

#### Theorem

$$\operatorname{Ind}[0,1]^n = n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Large inductive dimension

We say  $\operatorname{Ind} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n-1$ ; also,  $\operatorname{Ind} X = \infty$  means  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Ind X is the *large inductive dimension* of X.

#### Theorem

$$\operatorname{Ind}[0,1]^n = n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Thus, Ind helps in showing that all cubes are topologically distinct.



# Dimensionsgrad

#### Definition (Brouwer)

 $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  between every two disjoint closed sets A and B there is a cut C that satisfies  $\operatorname{Dg} C \leq n-1$ .



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Dimension functions

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# Dimensionsgrad

#### Definition (Brouwer)

 $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  between every two disjoint closed sets A and B there is a cut C that satisfies  $\operatorname{Dg} C \leq n-1$ . The starting point:  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq -1$  iff  $X = \emptyset$ .



# Dimensionsgrad

#### Definition (Brouwer)

 $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  between every two disjoint closed sets A and B there is a cut C that satisfies  $\operatorname{Dg} C \leq n-1$ . The starting point:  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq -1$  iff  $X = \emptyset$ .

*C* is a **cut** between *A* and *B* means:



イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Dimension functions

### Dimensionsgrad

#### Definition (Brouwer)

 $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  between every two disjoint closed sets A and B there is a cut C that satisfies  $\operatorname{Dg} C \leq n-1$ . The starting point:  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq -1$  iff  $X = \emptyset$ .

*C* is a cut between *A* and *B* means:  $C \cap K \neq \emptyset$  whenever *K* is a subcontinuum of *X* that meets both *A* and *B*.



Dimension functions

We say Dg X = n if  $Dg X \leq n$  but  $Dg X \leq n-1$ 

#### Dimensionsgrad

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# **fu**Delft

K. P. Hart Algebraic Topology, but not as you know it

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Dimensionsgrad

We say  $\operatorname{Dg} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n-1$ ; also,  $\operatorname{Dg} X = \infty$  means  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



Dimension functions

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Dimensionsgrad

We say  $\operatorname{Dg} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n-1$ ; also,  $\operatorname{Dg} X = \infty$  means  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\operatorname{Dg} X$  is the *Dimensionsgrad* of *X*.



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Dimensionsgrad

We say  $\operatorname{Dg} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n-1$ ; also,  $\operatorname{Dg} X = \infty$  means  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\operatorname{Dg} X$  is the *Dimensionsgrad* of X.

#### Theorem

$$Dg[0,1]^n = n$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ .



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Dimensionsgrad

We say  $\operatorname{Dg} X = n$  if  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  but  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n-1$ ; also,  $\operatorname{Dg} X = \infty$  means  $\operatorname{Dg} X \leq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\operatorname{Dg} X$  is the *Dimensionsgrad* of *X*.

#### Theorem

$$Dg[0,1]^n = n$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Thus, Dg helps in showing that all cubes are topologically distinct.



· < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

## Equalities

#### Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Theorem

#### For every compact metrizable space X we have

#### $\dim X = \operatorname{Dg} X = \operatorname{Ind} X$



<ロ> <同> <同> < 回> < 回>

### Equalities

#### Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Theorem

For every compact metrizable space X we have

 $\dim X = \operatorname{Dg} X = \operatorname{Ind} X$ 

#### • dim X = Ind X for all metrizable X



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Equalities

#### Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Theorem

For every compact metrizable space X we have

 $\dim X = \operatorname{Dg} X = \operatorname{Ind} X$ 

- dim X = Ind X for all metrizable X
- dim X = Dg X for all  $\sigma$ -compact metrizable  $X \dots$



## Equalities

#### Theorem

For every compact metrizable space X we have

 $\dim X = \operatorname{Dg} X = \operatorname{Ind} X$ 

Dimension functions

- dim X = Ind X for all metrizable X
- dim X = Dg X for all  $\sigma$ -compact metrizable  $X \dots$
- ... but not for all separable metrizable X



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### More inequalities

For compact Hausdorff spaces:



### More inequalities

For compact Hausdorff spaces:

•  $Dg X \leq Ind X$  (each partition is a cut)



- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日

Dimension functions

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### More inequalities

For compact Hausdorff spaces:

- $Dg X \leq Ind X$  (each partition is a cut)
- dim  $X \leq \text{Ind } X$  (Vedenissof)



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### More inequalities

For compact Hausdorff spaces:

- $Dg X \leq Ind X$  (each partition is a cut)
- dim  $X \leq \text{Ind } X$  (Vedenissof)
- dim  $X \leq Dg X$  (Fedorchuk)



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### More inequalities

For compact Hausdorff spaces:

- $Dg X \leq Ind X$  (each partition is a cut)
- dim  $X \leq$ Ind X (Vedenissof)
- dim  $X \leq Dg X$  (Fedorchuk)

We will (re)prove the last two inequalities algebraically.



## Outline

Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices

Formulas

- Wallman's construction
- Duality
- 2 What's the use?
  - Homeomorphisms
  - Embeddings
  - Onto mappings
- 3 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions

#### Formulas

- Bases
- Reflections





Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Covering dimension

#### Here is Hemmingsen's characterization of dim $X \leq n$



< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (四)</li>< (1)</li>< (1)</

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Covering dimension

Here is Hemmingsen's characterization of dim  $X \leq n$  reformulated in terms of closed sets



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Covering dimension

Here is Hemmingsen's characterization of dim  $X \leq n$  reformulated in terms of closed sets and cast as a formula,  $\delta_n$ , in the language of lattices



<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Covering dimension

Here is Hemmingsen's characterization of dim  $X \leq n$  reformulated in terms of closed sets and cast as a formula,  $\delta_n$ , in the language of lattices

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall x_1)(\forall x_2)\cdots(\forall x_{n+2})(\exists y_1)(\exists y_2)\cdots(\exists y_{n+2}) \\ & \left[(x_1\cap x_2\cap\cdots\cap x_{n+2}=o)\rightarrow\right. \\ & \left((x_1\leqslant y_1)\wedge(x_2\leqslant y_2)\wedge\cdots\wedge(x_{n+2}\leqslant y_{n+2})\right. \\ & \wedge(y_1\cap y_2\cap\cdots\cap y_{n+2}=o) \\ & \wedge(y_1\cup y_2\cup\cdots\cup y_{n+2}=1) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$



Delft University of Technology

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Large inductive dimension

# We can express $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$ in a similar fashion, the formula $I_n(a)$ becomes (recursively)



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Large inductive dimension

We can express  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  in a similar fashion, the formula  $I_n(a)$  becomes (recursively)

 $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u)$  $[(((x \leq a) \land (y \leq a) \land (x \cap y = o)) \rightarrow (partn(u, x, y, a) \land I_{n-1}(u))]$ 



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Large inductive dimension

We can express  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  in a similar fashion, the formula  $I_n(a)$  becomes (recursively)

 $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u)$  $[(((x \leq a) \land (y \leq a) \land (x \cap y = o)) \rightarrow (partn(u, x, y, a) \land I_{n-1}(u))]$ 

where partn(u, x, y, a) says that u is a partition between x and y in the (sub)space a:



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Large inductive dimension

We can express  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  in a similar fashion, the formula  $I_n(a)$  becomes (recursively)

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u) [(((x \leq a) \land (y \leq a) \land (x \cap y = o)) \rightarrow (partn(u, x, y, a) \land I_{n-1}(u))]$$

where partn(u, x, y, a) says that u is a partition between x and y in the (sub)space a:

$$(\exists f)(\exists g)((x \cap f = o) \land (y \cap g = o) \land (f \cup g = a) \land (f \cap g = u)).$$



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Large inductive dimension

We can express  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$  in a similar fashion, the formula  $I_n(a)$  becomes (recursively)

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u) [(((x \leq a) \land (y \leq a) \land (x \cap y = o)) \rightarrow (partn(u, x, y, a) \land I_{n-1}(u))]$$

where partn(u, x, y, a) says that u is a partition between x and y in the (sub)space a:

$$(\exists f)(\exists g)((x \cap f = o) \land (y \cap g = o) \land (f \cup g = a) \land (f \cap g = u)).$$

We start with  $I_{-1}(a)$ , which denotes a = o



#### Dimensionsgrad

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Here we have the recursive definition of a formula $\Delta_n(a)$ :



#### Dimensionsgrad

Here we have the recursive definition of a formula  $\Delta_n(a)$ :

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u)$$
  
 $[((x \leq a) \land (y \leq a) \land (x \cap y = o)) \rightarrow (\operatorname{cut}(u, x, y, a) \land \Delta_{n-1}(u))],$ 



#### Dimensionsgrad

Here we have the recursive definition of a formula  $\Delta_n(a)$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} (\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists u)\\ \left[\left((x \leqslant a) \land (y \leqslant a) \land (x \cap y = o)\right) \rightarrow (\operatorname{cut}(u, x, y, a) \land \Delta_{n-1}(u))\right],\\ \text{and } \Delta_{-1}(a) \text{ denotes } a = o. \end{array}$$

Formulas



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Dimensionsgrad (auxiliary formulas)

The formula cut(u, x, y, a) expresses that u is a cut between x and y in a:



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Dimensionsgrad (auxiliary formulas)

The formula cut(u, x, y, a) expresses that u is a cut between x and y in a:

$$(\forall v) [((v \leq a) \land \operatorname{conn}(v) \land (v \cap x \neq 0) \land (v \cap y \neq 0)) \rightarrow (v \cap u \neq 0)],$$



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Dimensionsgrad (auxiliary formulas)

The formula cut(u, x, y, a) expresses that u is a cut between x and y in a:

$$(\forall v) [((v \leq a) \land \operatorname{conn}(v) \land (v \cap x \neq 0) \land (v \cap y \neq 0)) \rightarrow (v \cap u \neq 0)],$$

and conn(a) says that a is connected:



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Dimensionsgrad (auxiliary formulas)

The formula cut(u, x, y, a) expresses that u is a cut between x and y in a:

$$(\forall v) [((v \leq a) \land \operatorname{conn}(v) \land (v \cap x \neq 0) \land (v \cap y \neq 0)) \rightarrow (v \cap u \neq 0)],$$

and conn(a) says that a is connected:

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)[((x \cap y = o) \land (x \cup y = a)) \rightarrow ((x = o) \lor (x = a))],$$



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Wherefore formulas?

Romeo and Juliet, Act 2, scene 2 (alternate)



<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Wherefore formulas?

Romeo and Juliet, Act 2, scene 2 (alternate): O Formulas, Formulas! — Wherefore useth thou Formulas?



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Wherefore formulas?

Romeo and Juliet, Act 2, scene 2 (alternate): O Formulas, Formulas! — Wherefore useth thou Formulas?

Forsooth! It giveth us an algebraic handle on these dimensions:



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

#### Wherefore formulas?

Romeo and Juliet, Act 2, scene 2 (alternate): O Formulas, Formulas! — Wherefore useth thou Formulas?

Forsooth! It giveth us an algebraic handle on these dimensions:

• dim  $X \leq n$  iff  $\delta_n$  holds in  $2^X$ 



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Wherefore formulas?

Romeo and Juliet, Act 2, scene 2 (alternate): O Formulas, Formulas! — Wherefore useth thou Formulas?

Forsooth! It giveth us an algebraic handle on these dimensions:

- dim  $X \leq n$  iff  $\delta_n$  holds in  $2^X$
- Ind  $X \leq n$  iff  $I_n(X)$  holds in  $2^X$



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Wherefore formulas?

Romeo and Juliet, Act 2, scene 2 (alternate): O Formulas, Formulas! — Wherefore useth thou Formulas?

Forsooth! It giveth us an algebraic handle on these dimensions:

- dim  $X \leq n$  iff  $\delta_n$  holds in  $2^X$
- Ind  $X \leq n$  iff  $I_n(X)$  holds in  $2^X$
- $\operatorname{Dg} X \leqslant n$  iff  $\Delta_n(X)$  holds in  $2^X$



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

# Outline

- Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices
  - Wallman's construction
  - Duality
- 2 What's the use?
  - Homeomorphisms
  - Embeddings
  - Onto mappings
- 3 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Covering dimension

### Theorem

Let X be compact. Then dim  $X \leq n$  iff some (every) lattice-base for its closed sets satisfies  $\delta_n$ .

Proof: compactness and a shrinking-and-swelling argument.



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Large inductive dimension

#### Theorem

Let X be compact. If some lattice lattice-base,  $\mathcal{B}$ , for its closed sets satisfies  $I_n(X)$  then  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$ .



イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Large inductive dimension

#### Theorem

Let X be compact. If some lattice lattice-base,  $\mathcal{B}$ , for its closed sets satisfies  $I_n(X)$  then  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$ .

Proof: induction and, again, a swelling-and-shrinking argument.



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Large inductive dimension

#### Theorem

Let X be compact. If some lattice lattice-base,  $\mathcal{B}$ , for its closed sets satisfies  $I_n(X)$  then  $\operatorname{Ind} X \leq n$ .

Proof: induction and, again, a swelling-and-shrinking argument.

No equivalence, see later.



Dimension function Formulas Bases Reflections

# Dimensionsgrad

#### Theorem

Let X be compact. If some lattice lattice-base,  $\mathcal{B}$ , for its closed sets satisfies  $\Delta_n(X)$  then



Dimension functio Formulas Bases Reflections

# Dimensionsgrad

#### Theorem

Let X be compact. If some lattice lattice-base,  $\mathcal{B}$ , for its closed sets satisfies  $\Delta_n(X)$  then we can't say anything about  $\operatorname{Dg} X$ .



## Dimensionsgrad

#### Theorem

Let X be compact. If some lattice lattice-base,  $\mathcal{B}$ , for its closed sets satisfies  $\Delta_n(X)$  then we can't say anything about  $\operatorname{Dg} X$ .

Bases

Proof: we can cheat and create, for [0, 1] say, a lattice base without connected elements; that base satisfies  $\Delta_0(X)$  vacuously.



# Outline

- Duality for compact Hausdorff spaces and lattices
  - Wallman's construction
  - Duality
- 2 What's the use?
  - Homeomorphisms
  - Embeddings
  - Onto mappings
- 8 Reflections on dimension
  - Dimension functions
  - Formulas
  - Bases
  - Reflections





· < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

### Take a rich sublattice

Let X be compact Hausdorff and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable sublattice of  $2^X$  with exactly the same algebraic properties as  $2^X$ .



Dimension functions Formulas Bases Reflections

## Take a rich sublattice

Let X be compact Hausdorff and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable sublattice of  $2^X$  with exactly the same algebraic properties as  $2^X$ .

If you know your model theory: apply the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem.



Dimension functions Formulas Bases **Reflections** 

## Take a rich sublattice

Let X be compact Hausdorff and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable sublattice of  $2^X$  with exactly the same algebraic properties as  $2^X$ .

If you know your model theory: apply the Löwenheim-Skolem theorem.

If not: think of taking a countable algebraic subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ , say.



Covering dimension vs large inductive dimension

The formula  $\delta_n$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence



Covering dimension vs large inductive dimension

The formula  $\delta_n$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence

 $\dim w\mathcal{B} = \dim X.$ 



Covering dimension vs large inductive dimension

The formula  $\delta_n$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence

 $\dim w\mathcal{B} = \dim X.$ 

The formula  $I_n(X)$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence



Covering dimension vs large inductive dimension

The formula  $\delta_n$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence

 $\dim w\mathcal{B} = \dim X.$ 

The formula  $I_n(X)$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence

Ind  $w\mathcal{B} \leq \operatorname{Ind} X$ .



Covering dimension vs large inductive dimension

The formula  $\delta_n$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence

 $\dim w\mathcal{B} = \dim X.$ 

The formula  $I_n(X)$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence

Ind  $w\mathcal{B} \leq \operatorname{Ind} X$ .

But wB is compact metrizable, so dim wB = Ind wB, hence



Covering dimension vs large inductive dimension

The formula  $\delta_n$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence

 $\dim w\mathcal{B} = \dim X.$ 

The formula  $I_n(X)$  holds in  $\mathcal{B}$  iff it holds in  $2^X$ , hence

Ind  $w\mathcal{B} \leq \operatorname{Ind} X$ .

But wB is compact metrizable, so dim wB = Ind wB, hence

dim  $X \leq \operatorname{Ind} X$ .

Covering dimension vs large inductive dimension

There are (many) compact Hausdorff spaces with non-coinciding dimensions, e.g., an early example of a compact L such that dim L = 1 and Ind L = 2 (Lokucievskiĭ).



Covering dimension vs large inductive dimension

There are (many) compact Hausdorff spaces with non-coinciding dimensions, e.g., an early example of a compact L such that dim L = 1 and Ind L = 2 (Lokucievskiĭ).

In that case Ind wB < Ind L for countable (rich) sublattices of  $2^L$ .



Dimension function Formulas Bases Reflections

### Covering dimension vs Dimensionsgrad

The stronger inequality dim  $X \leq Dg X$  can be proved via wB as well.



Dimension function Formulas Bases Reflections

### Covering dimension vs Dimensionsgrad

The stronger inequality dim  $X \leq Dg X$  can be proved via wB as well.

The argument is more involved.



Dimension functior Formulas Bases Reflections

### Covering dimension vs Dimensionsgrad

The stronger inequality dim  $X \leq Dg X$  can be proved via wB as well.

The argument is more involved.

It uses in an essential way that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a rich sublattice of  $2^{\chi}$ .



Dimension function Formulas Bases Reflections

### Covering dimension vs Dimensionsgrad

The stronger inequality dim  $X \leq Dg X$  can be proved via wB as well.

The argument is more involved.

It uses in an essential way that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a rich sublattice of  $2^{\chi}$ .

I'll spare you the details.





### Website: fa.its.tudelft.nl/~hart

### 🚺 K. P. Hart.

*Elementarity and dimensions*, Mathematical Notes, **78** (2005), 264–269.

