

## SET THEORY WITH ATOMS.

ENRICH OUR LANGUAGE WITH TWO CONSTANTS:  
 $\emptyset$  AND  $A$ .

THE AXIOMS OF ZFA ARE THOSE OF ZF

EXCEPTIONS:

$$0: (\forall x)(x \notin \emptyset)$$

$\emptyset$  IS THE EMPTY SET

$$A: (\forall z)(z \in A \leftrightarrow (z \neq \emptyset \wedge (\forall u)(x \in z))) \quad A \text{ CONSISTS OF ATOMS}$$

EXTENSIONALITY:

$$(\forall x)(\forall y)((x \notin A \wedge y \notin A) \rightarrow ((\forall u)(u \in x \leftrightarrow u \in y) \leftrightarrow x = y))$$

[ ALL OBJECTS THAT ARE NOT ATOMS  
 ARE (BEHAVE AS) SETS ]

REGULARITY:

$$(\forall x)(\exists y)(y \in x \rightarrow (\exists z)(y \in z \wedge y \cap x = \emptyset))$$

ZFA + "A IS INFINITE" IS CONSISTENT,  
 PROVIDED ZF IS CONSISTENT.

THIS WILL BE SHOWN IF TIME PERMITS.

FOR EVERY SET S DEFINE

$$- P^0(S) = S$$

$$- P^{\alpha+1}(S) = P^\alpha(S) \cup P(P^\alpha(S))$$

$$- P^\alpha(S) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} P^\beta(S) \quad (\alpha \text{ LIMIT})$$

$$- P^\infty(S) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in ON} P^\alpha(S)$$

[ THIS IS INFORMAL USING THE LANGUAGE OF CLASSES;  
 IT CAN BE MADE FORMAL USING RECURSION ETC. ]

THE UNIVERSE NOW IS  $V = P^\infty(A)$

IT HAS A SUBUNIVERSE  $P^\infty(\emptyset)$  THE KERNEL

THE KERNEL IS A MODEL OF ZF.

$$\text{RANK}(x) = \min \{ \alpha : x \in P^\alpha(A) \}$$

## PERMUTATIONS

IF  $\pi: A \rightarrow A$  IS A PERMUTATION THEN WE EXTEND ITS ACTION TO ALL OF  $V$  BY

- $\pi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
- $\pi(x) = \{\pi(y) : y \in x\}$  (ALSO DENOTED  $\pi''x$ )

THIS GIVES US AN  $\mathcal{E}$ -ISOMORPHISM OF  $V$

- PROVE BY INDUCTION THAT
  - $\pi \circ f$  INDUCES THE COMPOSITION OF THE ACTIONS INDUCED BY  $f$  AND  $\pi$ .
  - THE ACTION INDUCED BY  $\pi^{-1}$  IS THE INVERSE OF THE ACTION INDUCED BY  $\pi$
- USEFUL FORMULAS / EQUALITIES

$$\pi(\{x, y\}) = \{\pi(x), \pi(y)\}$$

$$\pi(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle \pi(x), \pi(y) \rangle$$

IF  $f$  IS A FUNCTION THEN  $\pi(f)$  IS A FUNCTION AND

$$\pi(f)(\pi(x)) = \pi(f(x))$$

FOR:  $\langle x, y \rangle \in f \Leftrightarrow \langle \pi(x), \pi(y) \rangle \in \pi(f)$ .

- IF  $x \in \mathcal{P}^s(\emptyset)$  THEN  $\pi(x) \in x$   
SETS IN THE KERNEL ARE  $\pi$ -INVARIANT FOR EVERY  $\pi$ .

- BY INDUCTION ON COMPLEXITY OF FORMULAS

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(\pi(x_1), \dots, \pi(x_n))$$

- $\text{RANK}(\pi(x)) = \text{RANK}(x)$

IT IS A GOOD EXERCISE TO CHECK/VERIFY SOME/ALL OF THESE STATEMENTS.

For  $\varphi \in V$  let

$\varphi \text{ syn}$

## SYMMETRY.

CONSIDER A SUBGROUP,  $G$ , OF THE PERMUTATION GROUP OF  $A$ .

A FAMILY  $\mathcal{F}$  OF SUBGROUPS OF  $G$  IS A NORMAL FILTER ON  $G$  IF

- $G \in \mathcal{F}$
- IF  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  AND  $H \triangleleft K$  THEN  $K \in \mathcal{F}$
- IF  $H, K \in \mathcal{F}$  THEN  $H \cap K \in \mathcal{F}$
- IF  $\pi \in G$  AND  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  THEN  $\pi H \pi^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- FOR EVERY  $a \in A$  THE GROUP  $\{\pi : \pi|_A = a\}$  IS IN  $\mathcal{F}$ .

FOR  $x \in V$  LET

$$\text{SYM}_G(x) = \{ \pi \in G : \pi(x) = x \}.$$

NOTE, THIS MEANS THAT  $\pi \in \text{SYM}_G(x)$  IFF  $\{ \pi(y) : y \in x \} = x$

## EXERCISE

LET  $f$  BE A FUNCTION AND LET  $\pi \in \text{SYM}_G(f)$ .  
SHOW: IF  $x \in \text{DOM } f$  AND  $\pi(x) = x$   
THEN  $\pi(f(x)) = f(x)$ .

GIVEN  $G$  AND  $\mathcal{F}$  SAY THAT  $x$  IS SYMMETRIC (WITH RESPECT TO  $G$  AND  $\mathcal{F}$ ) IF  $\text{SYM}_G(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

WE LET  $\mathcal{V}$  (OR BETTER:  $\mathcal{V}_{G, \mathcal{F}}$ ) BE THE CLASS OF HEREDITARILY SYMMETRIC OBJECTS, SO

$$x \in \mathcal{V} \iff \text{SYM}_G(x) \in \mathcal{F} \wedge x \in \mathcal{V}.$$

[HOMEWORK: THIS IS WELL-DEFINED].

TERMINOLOGY:  $\mathcal{U}$  IS A PERMUTATION MODEL.

THEOREM:  $\mathcal{U}$  IS A TRANSITIVE MODEL OF ZFA,  
IN ADDITION  $\mathcal{P}^{\omega}(\emptyset) \in \mathcal{U}$  AND  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ .

PROOF

- TRANSITIVE, BY DEFINITION
- IF  $x, y \in \mathcal{U}$  THEN  $\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{U}$   
FOR -  $\text{SYM}_G(\{x, y\}) \supseteq \text{SYM}_G(x) \cap \text{SYM}_G(y)$   
-  $\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{U}$  IS CLEAR
- IF  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  THEN  $Ux \in \mathcal{U}$   
IF  $\pi(x) = x$  THEN  
 $\pi(Ux) = U\{\pi(y) : y \in x\} = Ux$   
AND SO  $\text{SYM}_G(x) \in \text{SYM}_G(Ux)$ .  
AND  $y \in \mathcal{U}$  IF  $y \in x$  SO  $Ux \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- VERIFY THE OTHER AXIOMS YOURSELF.
- WE HAVE  $\text{SYM}_G(A) = G$
- WE HAVE  $\text{SYM}_G(x) = G$  FOR  $x \in \mathcal{P}^{\omega}(\emptyset)$ .

### SOURCES OF FILTERS:

OUR EXAMPLES COME FROM IDEALS

- $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$  IS A NORMAL IDEAL ON  $A$  IF
- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
  - IF  $E \in \mathcal{I}$  AND  $F \subseteq E$  THEN  $F \in \mathcal{I}$
  - IF  $E, F \in \mathcal{I}$  THEN  $E \cup F \in \mathcal{I}$
  - IF  $\pi \in G$  AND  $E \in \mathcal{I}$  THEN  $\pi[E] \in \mathcal{I}$
  - FOR ALL  $a \in A$  WE HAVE  $\{a\} \in \mathcal{I}$ , SO  $[A]^{<\omega} \in \mathcal{I}$ .

FOR ANY  $x$  LET  $\text{FIX}_G(x) = \{\pi : (\forall y \in x)(\pi(y) = y)\}$   
(A SUBGROUP OF  $G$ .)

GIVEN  $\mathcal{J}$  DEFINE

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ H \leq G : (\exists E \in \mathcal{J}) (\text{FIX}_G(E) \leq H) \}$$

(THE FILTER GENERATED BY  $\{ \text{FIX}_G(E) : E \in \mathcal{J} \}$ )

WE'LL START WITH  $A, G$  AND  $\mathcal{J}$ , DEFINE  $\mathcal{F}$   
AND THEN TAKE  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{G, \mathcal{F}}$ .

IN THIS CASE

$x$  IS SYMMETRIC IFF

THERE IS  $E \in \mathcal{J}$  SUCH THAT  $\text{FIX}_G(E) \leq \text{SYM}_G(x)$ .

COMMON TERMINOLOGY:  $E$  IS A SUPPORT OF  $x$

WE ASSUME ZFA + AXIOM OF CHOICE.

FROM NOW ON.

IN PARTICULAR  $\mathcal{P}^{\omega}(\emptyset)$  SATISFIES ZFC,  
SO EVERY MEMBER OF  $\mathcal{P}^{\omega}(\emptyset)$  HAS A  
WELL-ORDER IN  $\mathcal{P}^{\omega}(\emptyset)$ .

CONCLUSION

$x \in \mathcal{V}$  HAS A WELL-ORDER IN  $\mathcal{V}$   
IFF

THERE IS AN  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  THAT IS AN  
INJECTIVE MAP FROM  $x$  TO  $\mathcal{P}^{\omega}(\emptyset)$

HOMEWORK:

- a) IF  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  AND  $f: x \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\omega}(\emptyset)$  IS INJECTIVE  
THEN  $\text{SYM}_G(f) \leq \text{FIX}_G(x)$ , AND SO  $\text{FIX}_G(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ .  
AND SO  $\text{FIX}_G(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- b) IF  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  AND  $\text{FIX}_G(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ .  
THEN  $x$  HAS A WELLORDER IN  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## OUR FIRST MODEL: THE BASIC FRAENKEL MODEL

LET  $A$  BE COUNTABLY INFINITE,

LET  $G$  BE THE GROUP OF ALL PERMUTATIONS OF  $A$ ,

LET  $\mathcal{J}$  BE THE FAMILY OF FINITE SUBSETS OF  $A$ ,

AND  $\mathcal{F}$  IS DEFINED FROM  $\mathcal{J}$  AS ABOVE.

THUS  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  IF AND ONLY IF

THERE IS A FINITE SUBSET  $E$  OF  $A$

SUCH THAT  $\pi(x) = x$  WHENEVER  $\pi(a) = a$  ( $a \in E$ ).

• THERE IS NO FINITE SET  $E \subseteq A$

SUCH THAT  $\text{Fix}_G(E) \in \text{Fix}_G(A) = \{I_{0A}\}$ .

CONCLUSION: THERE IS NO WELL-ORDER OF  $A$   
THAT IS IN  $\mathcal{U}$ .

• PROVE: IF  $m \in \mathcal{U}$  AND IF  $f: m \rightarrow A$  IS FROM  $V$   
THEN  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ .

[SO  $A$  IS INFINITE IN  $\mathcal{U}$ ]