

## Asymptotic behaviour of $C_0$ -semigroups with bounded local resolvents

By CHARLES J.K. BATTY of Oxford, RALPH CHILL of Ulm and JAN VAN NEERVEN of Delft

(Received September 22, 1998)

**Abstract.** Let  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Banach space  $X$  with generator  $A$ , and let  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$  be the space of all  $x \in X$  such that the local resolvent  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)x$  has a bounded holomorphic extension to the right half-plane. For the class of integrable functions  $\phi$  on  $[0, \infty)$  whose Fourier transforms are integrable, we construct a functional calculus  $\phi \mapsto T_{\phi}$ , as operators on  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ . We show that each orbit  $T(\cdot)T_{\phi}x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous, and  $T(t)T_{\phi}x \rightarrow 0$  weakly as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and we give a new proof that  $\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\| = O(t)$ . We also show that  $\|T(t)T_{\phi}x\| \rightarrow 0$  when  $\mathbf{T}$  is sun-reflexive, and that  $\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\| = O(\ln t)$  when  $\mathbf{T}$  is a positive semigroup on a normal ordered space  $X$  and  $x$  is a positive vector in  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ .

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

This paper is concerned with the asymptotic behaviour of orbits  $T(\cdot)Sx$ , where  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a complex Banach space  $X$  with generator  $A$ ,  $x$  is a vector in  $X$  such that the local resolvent  $R(\cdot, A)x$  has a bounded holomorphic extension to the right half-plane  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , and  $S$  is an operator in one of various classes associated with  $\mathbf{T}$ . In [Ne1], it was shown that there is a constant  $c$  such that  $\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\| \leq c(1+t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and this gave a proof that

$$\inf \left\{ \omega \in \mathbf{R} : \text{for all } x \in D(A), \|T(t)x\| = O(e^{\omega t}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \right\} \\ \leq \inf \left\{ \omega \in \mathbf{R} : R(\lambda, A) \text{ exists whenever } \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega \text{ and } \sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega} \|R(\lambda, A)\| < \infty \right\},$$

an inequality originally established in [WW]. It remains an open question whether such orbits  $T(\cdot)R(\mu, A)x$  are bounded in general, but it has been shown in [HN] (see

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47D03

*Keywords and phrases.*  $C_0$ -semigroup, individual stability, local resolvent,  $H^{\infty}(\mathbf{C}_+)$ , fractional power,  $\odot$ -reflexive, Tauberian theorem, Laplace transform, functional calculus

also [Ne3, Chapter 4]) that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)R(\mu, A)x = 0$  strongly (in norm) if  $X$  is  $B$ -convex, and that, for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x = 0$  weakly for arbitrary  $X$ , and strongly if  $X$  has the analytic Radon-Nikodým property (ARNP), in particular, if  $X$  is reflexive. When  $X$  has the ARNP, it was shown more generally in [Ch1] (see also [Ch2]) that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)T_\phi x = 0$  whenever

$$T_\phi x := \int_0^\infty \phi(t)T(t)x \, dt$$

is an absolutely convergent integral. This was deduced as a consequence of general Tauberian theorems originating in work of Ingham [In].

Here, we extend these results in various directions. In Section 2, we show that it is possible to define  $T_\phi x$  whenever  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and its Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  (and  $x$  has bounded local resolvent on  $\mathbf{C}_+$ ), thereby creating a functional calculus on the space of such vectors. In Section 3 we give some general estimates for orbits  $T(\cdot)T_\phi x$ , thereby extending and sharpening results in [Ne1] and [HN]. In Section 4, we show that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)T_\phi x = 0$  strongly if  $\mathbf{T}$  is sun-reflexive, and indeed that this is also a case of a Tauberian theorem.

Throughout this paper, we shall let  $\mathbf{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbf{C}_+ = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ . Given a locally integrable function  $\phi : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , we shall let  $\widehat{\phi}$  be the Laplace transform of  $\phi$ :

$$\widehat{\phi}(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tz}\phi(t) \, dt$$

whenever this integral is absolutely convergent.

When  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , we shall let  $\mathcal{F}\phi$  be the Fourier transform of  $\phi$  (where  $\phi$  is regarded as vanishing on  $(-\infty, 0)$ ). For  $a \geq 0$ , we denote by  $L^1_{a, \mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  the space of all  $\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  such that  $\|\phi\|_{a,1} := \int_0^\infty |\phi(t)|e^{at} \, dt < \infty$  and  $\mathcal{F}\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ . This is a linear subspace of  $L^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , and a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{L^1_{a, \mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)} := \|\phi\|_{a,1} + \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1.$$

It is even a commutative Banach algebra with respect to convolution. To see this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi * \psi\|_{L^1_{a, \mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)} &= \|\phi * \psi\|_{a,1} + \|\mathcal{F}\phi \cdot \mathcal{F}\psi\|_1 \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{a,1} \|\psi\|_{a,1} + \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 \|\mathcal{F}\psi\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{a,1} \|\psi\|_{a,1} + \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 \|\psi\|_1 \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^1_{a, \mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)} \|\psi\|_{a,1} \\ &\leq \|\phi\|_{L^1_{a, \mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)} \|\psi\|_{L^1_{a, \mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $a = 0$ , we shall write  $L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  for  $L^1_{0, \mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . By the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma (applied to  $\mathcal{F}\phi$ ), every function  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  is continuous, vanishes at infinity, and satisfies  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

Throughout,  $X$  will be a complex Banach space, and we shall denote by  $H^\infty = H^\infty(\mathbf{C}_+; X)$  the Banach space of all bounded holomorphic  $X$ -valued functions on  $\mathbf{C}_+$ ,

with the norm

$$\|F\|_{H^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{C}_+} \|F(z)\|.$$

By the Phragmén-Lindelöf Principle,

$$\|F\|_{H^\infty} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{s \in \mathbf{R}} \|F(\alpha + is)\|.$$

We let  $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup with infinitesimal generator  $A$  on  $X$ . We shall denote the resolvent set of  $A$  by  $\varrho(A)$  and write  $R(\mu, A)$  for the resolvent  $(\mu I - A)^{-1}$ ,  $\mu \in \varrho(A)$ . We shall frequently use the resolvent identity:

$$R(\lambda, A) = R(\mu, A) + (\mu - \lambda)R(\mu, A)R(\lambda, A).$$

We shall denote the growth bound of  $\mathbf{T}$  by  $\omega_0(\mathbf{T})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0(\mathbf{T}) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|T(t)\|}{t} \\ &= \inf \{ \omega \in \mathbf{R} : \text{there exists } M \text{ such that } \|T(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}, t \geq 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

We let  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  be the linear subspace of  $X$  consisting of all  $x \in X$  whose local resolvent  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)x$  has a holomorphic extension to an element  $F_x \in H^\infty$ . The space  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty} := \|x\| + \|F_x\|_{H^\infty}.$$

Indeed, suppose  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ . Then  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy in  $X$ , with limit  $x$ , say. Moreover,  $(F_{x_n})$  is Cauchy in  $H^\infty$ , say with limit  $G \in H^\infty$ . But for all  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}_+$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_0(\mathbf{T})$ ,

$$G(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n}(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\lambda, A)x_n = R(\lambda, A)x$$

and therefore  $G$  is a bounded holomorphic extension of the local resolvent  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)x$ . It follows that  $G = F_x$  and completeness of  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  is proved.

We will sometimes regard the mapping  $x \mapsto F_x$  as an operator from  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  into  $H^\infty$ ; as such it is linear and contractive.

## 2. The main estimate

For  $x \in X$  and  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , the space of continuous functions with compact support in  $\mathbf{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ , we define an element  $T_\phi x \in X$  by

$$T_\phi x := \int_0^\infty \phi(t)T(t)x dt.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *For  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  and  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,*

$$\|T_\phi x\| \leq \liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\| \int_{\alpha - ir}^{\alpha + ir} F_x(z) \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - z) dz \right\|,$$

where the integral is along any path in  $\mathbf{C}_+$  from  $\alpha - ir$  to  $\alpha + ir$ .

*Proof.* By Cauchy's Theorem, we may assume that the integral is along the line segment from  $\alpha - ir$  to  $\alpha + ir$ .

Take  $\omega > \max(\omega_0(\mathbf{T}), 0)$ . The Laplace transform  $\widehat{\phi}(z)$  is defined for all  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ , and  $(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) = \widehat{\phi}(is)$ . Take  $0 < \alpha < \omega$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . The functions  $t \mapsto e^{-\omega t} \langle T(t)x, x^* \rangle$  and  $t \mapsto e^{(\omega - \alpha)t} \phi(t)$  belong to  $L^2(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , and their respective Fourier transforms are  $s \mapsto \langle R(\omega + is, A)x, x^* \rangle$  and  $s \mapsto \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - \omega - is)$ . By Plancherel's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \langle T(t)x, x^* \rangle \phi(t) dt &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega t} \langle T(t)x, x^* \rangle e^{(\omega - \alpha)t} \phi(t) dt \\ (2.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \langle R(\omega + is, A)x, x^* \rangle \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - \omega - is) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the contour integral

$$\int \langle F_x(z), x^* \rangle \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - z) dz$$

around the rectangle with vertices  $\alpha \pm ir, \omega \pm ir$ , where  $r > 0$ . The integral along the bottom edge is

$$\int_\alpha^\omega \langle F_x(\xi - ir), x^* \rangle \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - \xi + ir) d\xi.$$

For  $\alpha < \xi < \omega$ ,

$$\widehat{\phi}(\alpha - \xi + ir) = \int_0^\infty e^{-(\alpha - \xi)t} \phi(t) e^{-irt} dt \rightarrow 0$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - \xi + ir) \right| &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{\omega t} |\phi(t)| dt \\ |\langle F_x(\xi - ir), x^* \rangle| &\leq \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|x^*\|, \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $r > 0, \alpha < \xi < \omega$ . By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_\alpha^\omega \langle F_x(\xi - ir), x^* \rangle \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - \xi + ir) d\xi = 0.$$

A similar argument shows that the integral along the top edge of the rectangle tends to 0 as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . By Cauchy's Theorem,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{-r}^r \langle F_x(\omega + is), x^* \rangle \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - \omega - is) ds - \int_{-r}^r \langle F_x(\alpha + is), x^* \rangle \widehat{\phi}(-is) ds \right\} = 0.$$

By (2.1),

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \langle T(t)x, x^* \rangle \phi(t) dt = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-r}^r \langle F_x(\alpha + is), x^* \rangle (\mathcal{F}\phi)(-s) ds,$$

so

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \phi(t) T(t)x dt \right\| \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\| \int_{-r}^r F_x(\alpha + is) (\mathcal{F}\phi)(-s) ds \right\|.$$

The result now follows by letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0+$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *For all  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  and  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+)$  with  $\mathcal{F}\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  we have*

$$\|T_\phi x\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $a \geq 0$  and  $\phi \in L_{a,\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . There is a sequence  $(\phi_n)$  in  $L_{a,\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap C_c(\mathbf{R}_+)$  such that  $|\phi_n| \leq |\phi|$  for all  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n - \phi\|_{L_{a,\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  be an arbitrary function satisfying  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\psi(0) = 1$ , and  $\int_{-\infty}^\infty \psi(t) dt = 1$ . Let  $\psi_n(t) := \psi(t/n)$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ;  $\phi_n := \phi \cdot \psi_n|_{\mathbf{R}_+}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\phi_n \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $|\phi_n| \leq |\phi|$ .

Since each  $\psi_n$  belongs to the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ , so does its Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}\psi_n$ . In particular  $\mathcal{F}\psi_n \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ , so  $\phi_n \in L_{a,\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n - \phi\|_{a,1} = 0$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}\phi_n = (2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}\phi * \mathcal{F}\psi_n = (2\pi)^{-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}\phi * n\mathcal{F}\psi(n \cdot) = \mathcal{F}\phi$$

in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ ; cf. [Ka, Theorem VI.1.10]. Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n - \phi\|_{L_{a,\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)} = 0$ .  $\square$

The following result now follows easily from Corollary 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and the Dominated Convergence Theorem.

**Proposition 2.4.** *For each  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  the linear operator  $T_x : \phi \mapsto T_\phi x$  from  $L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap C_c(\mathbf{R}_+)$  to  $X$  has a unique extension to a bounded linear operator  $T_x$  from  $L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  into  $X$ . For each  $\phi \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  there exists a unique bounded linear operator  $T_\phi : H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty \rightarrow X$  given by  $T_\phi x = T_x \phi$ . Moreover*

$$\|T_\phi x\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1$$

for all  $\phi \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . If  $\int_0^\infty |\phi(t)| \|T(t)x\| dt < \infty$ , then  $T_\phi x = \int_0^\infty \phi(t) T(t)x dt$ .

In Corollary 3.4, we will give a general formula for  $T_\phi x$ .

We will now consider the question whether  $T_\phi$  maps  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  into  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ . The main result will be Proposition 2.7, but we first make a simple observation.

If  $S$  is a bounded linear operator on  $X$  commuting with  $\mathbf{T}$ , then  $S$  maps  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$  into  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ , with  $\|Sx\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}\|x\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}}$ . In particular, this applies with  $S = T_{\phi}$ , where  $\int_0^{\infty} |\phi(t)| \|T(t)\| dt < \infty$  and

$$T_{\phi}x = \int_0^{\infty} \phi(t)T(t)x dt.$$

Note that this is consistent with the definition of  $T_{\phi}x$  for  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , by Proposition 2.4. The following is an interesting example of this situation.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\mu > \max(\omega_0(\mathbf{T}), 0)$ , and define  $\phi(t) := \Gamma(\alpha)^{-1}t^{\alpha-1}e^{-\mu t}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}\phi(s) = (\mu + is)^{-\alpha}$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ ; thus  $\mathcal{F}\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ . Moreover,  $T_{\phi}x = R(\mu, A)^{\alpha}x$ , where  $R(\mu, A)^{\alpha}$  is the negative fractional power of the sectorial operator  $\mu I - A$  (see [Ne3, Appendix A1]).

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}_+$ . Then*

- (1)  $F_x(\lambda) \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ ;
- (2)  $F_{F_x(\lambda)}(\mu) = \frac{F_x(\lambda) - F_x(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda}$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}_+, \mu \neq \lambda$ ;
- (3)  $\|F_{F_x(\lambda)}\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq \frac{4\|F_x\|_{H^{\infty}}}{\operatorname{Re}\lambda}$ ;
- (4) *The  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ -valued function  $\lambda \mapsto F_x(\lambda)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbf{C}_+$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that, for each  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}_+$ , the function  $\mu \mapsto G_{x,\lambda}(\mu) := R(\mu, A)F_x(\lambda)$  has a holomorphic extension to  $\mathbf{C}_+$  and that this extension is bounded.

By the resolvent identity and analytic continuation, for all  $\operatorname{Re}\mu > \max(0, \omega_0(\mathbf{T}))$ ,  $\mu \neq \lambda$ , we have

$$G_{x,\lambda}(\mu) = \frac{F_x(\lambda) - R(\mu, A)x}{\mu - \lambda}.$$

A holomorphic extension to  $\mathbf{C}_+$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{x,\lambda}(\mu) &= \frac{F_x(\lambda) - F_x(\mu)}{\mu - \lambda}, \quad \mu \neq \lambda, \\ G_{x,\lambda}(\lambda) &= -F'_x(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed  $\mu \in \mathbf{C}_+$ , the function  $\lambda \mapsto G_{x,\lambda}(\mu) = G_{x,\mu}(\lambda)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , so Cauchy's Integral Formula gives

$$(2.2) \quad G_{x,\lambda}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{F_x(z) - F_x(\mu)}{(\mu - z)(z - \lambda)} dz$$

for any contour  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbf{C}_+$  around  $\lambda$ . Taking  $\gamma$  to be a circle with centre  $\lambda$  and radius  $r$  where  $0 < r < \operatorname{Re}\lambda$ , we obtain

$$\|G_{x,\lambda}(\mu)\| \leq \frac{2\|F_x\|_{H^\infty}}{|r - |\lambda - \mu||}.$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow 0$  if  $|\lambda - \mu| \geq \operatorname{Re}\lambda/2$  and  $r \rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\lambda$  otherwise,

$$\|G_{x,\lambda}(\mu)\| \leq \frac{4\|F_x\|_{H^\infty}}{\operatorname{Re}\lambda}.$$

This establishes (1), (2) and (3).

If  $|\lambda' - \lambda| < r < \operatorname{Re}\lambda$ , then applying (2.2) for  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{x,\lambda'}(\mu) - G_{x,\lambda}(\mu)\| &= \left\| \frac{\lambda' - \lambda}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-\lambda|=r} \frac{F_x(z) - F_x(\mu)}{(\mu - z)(z - \lambda)(z - \lambda')} dz \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{2|\lambda' - \lambda|\|F_x\|_{H^\infty}}{|r - |\lambda - \mu|| (r - |\lambda' - \lambda|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $r = \operatorname{Re}\lambda/3$  if  $|\lambda - \mu| \geq \operatorname{Re}\lambda/2$  and  $r \rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\lambda$  otherwise, it follows that

$$\|F_x(\lambda') - F_x(\lambda)\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty} \leq \|F_x(\lambda') - F_x(\lambda)\| + \frac{72|\lambda' - \lambda|\|F_x\|_{H^\infty}}{(\operatorname{Re}\lambda)^2}$$

whenever  $|\lambda' - \lambda| < \operatorname{Re}\lambda/6$ . Thus  $F_x$  is continuous as an  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ -valued function, and holomorphic as an  $X$ -valued function. It follows from Cauchy's Theorem and Morera's Theorem that  $F_x$  is holomorphic as an  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ -valued function.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\phi \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ .*

- (1)  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)T_\phi x$  has a holomorphic extension to  $\mathbf{C}_+$  given by  $\lambda \mapsto T_\phi(F_x(\lambda))$ .
- (2) If  $R(\cdot, A)^2 x$  has a bounded holomorphic extension  $G_x$  to  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , then  $T_\phi x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  and

$$\|T_\phi x\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 (\|F_x\|_{H^\infty} + \|G_x\|_{H^\infty}).$$

- (3) If  $\phi \in L_{a,\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  for some  $0 < a < 1$ , then  $T_\phi x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  and

$$\|T_\phi x\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} (3\|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 + (4 - 2\ln a)\|\phi\|_{a,1}).$$

*Proof.* (1). By Proposition 2.6,  $F_x$  is a holomorphic map of  $\mathbf{C}_+$  into  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ . By Proposition 2.4,  $T_\phi$  is a bounded linear map of  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  into  $X$ . Hence  $T_\phi(F_x(\cdot)) : \mathbf{C}_+ \rightarrow X$  is holomorphic. For  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \max(0, \omega_0(\mathbf{T}))$ , the formula

$$T_\phi(F_x(\lambda)) = R(\lambda, A)T_\phi x$$

is valid for  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  by definition of  $T_\phi$ , and hence for all  $\phi \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  by density (Lemma 2.3) and continuity with respect to  $\phi$  (Proposition 2.4).

(2). Since the derivative of  $R(\cdot, A)x$  is  $-R(\cdot, A)^2x$ ,  $G_x = -F'_x$ . Propositions 2.4 and 2.6 show that

$$\|T_\phi(F_x(\lambda))\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 \|F_{F_x(\lambda)}\|_{H^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 \|F'_x\|_{H^\infty}.$$

Hence  $T_\phi x \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty$  and

$$\|T_\phi x\|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty} = \|T_\phi x\| + \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+} \|T_\phi(F_x(\lambda))\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 (\|F_x\|_{H^\infty} + \|G_x\|_{H^\infty}).$$

(3). Suppose that  $0 < \operatorname{Re}\lambda < a/2$ . Consider first the case when  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . By Proposition 2.6, and Proposition 2.1 with  $x$  replaced by  $F_x(\lambda)$ ,

$$\|T_\phi(F_x(\lambda))\| \leq \liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\| \int_{\gamma_{\alpha,r}} \left( \frac{F_x(\lambda) - F_x(z)}{z - \lambda} \right) \widehat{\phi}(\alpha - z) dz \right\|,$$

where, for  $0 < \alpha < a$  and  $r > |\operatorname{Im}\lambda| + 1$ , we choose  $\gamma_{\alpha,r}$  to be the path consisting of five line segments:  $\gamma_1$  from  $\alpha - ir$  to  $\alpha + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda - 1)$ ;  $\gamma_2$  from  $\alpha + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda - 1)$  to  $a + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda - 1)$ ;  $\gamma_3$  from  $a + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda - 1)$  to  $a + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda + 1)$ ;  $\gamma_4$  from  $a + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda + 1)$  to  $\alpha + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda + 1)$ ;  $\gamma_5$  from  $\alpha + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda + 1)$  to  $\alpha + ir$ . We use the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_x(\lambda) - F_x(z)\| &\leq 2\|F_x\|_{H^\infty}, & z \in \gamma_{\alpha,r}, \\ |\widehat{\phi}(\alpha - z)| &\leq \|\phi\|_{a,1}, & z \in \gamma_2 \cup \gamma_3 \cup \gamma_4, \\ |z - \lambda| &\geq 1, & z \in \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \gamma_4 \cup \gamma_5, \\ |z - \lambda| &\geq a - \operatorname{Re}\lambda, & z = a + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda + s) \in \gamma_3, |s| \leq a - \operatorname{Re}\lambda, \\ |z - \lambda| &\geq |s|, & z = a + i(\operatorname{Im}\lambda + s) \in \gamma_3, a - \operatorname{Re}\lambda < |s| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

These give

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\phi(F_x(\lambda))\| &\leq \frac{\|F_x\|_{H^\infty}}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\phi}(-is)| ds + \left( 2a + 2 + 2 \int_{a - \operatorname{Re}\lambda}^1 \frac{ds}{s} \right) \|\phi\|_{a,1} \right\} \\ (2.3) \quad &\leq \frac{\|F_x\|_{H^\infty}}{\pi} \{ \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 + (4 - 2 \ln(a - \operatorname{Re}\lambda)) \|\phi\|_{a,1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate remains valid for  $\phi \in L^1_{a,\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  by density (Lemma 2.3) and continuity (Proposition 2.4).

For  $\phi \in L^1_{a,\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , Propositions 2.4 and 2.6 give

$$\|T_\phi(F_x(\lambda))\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 \|F_{F_x(\lambda)}\|_{H^\infty} \leq \frac{4}{\pi a} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 \|F_x\|_{H^\infty},$$

for  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq a/2$ . Now (2.3) shows that  $T_\phi x \in H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\phi x\|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty} &= \|T_\phi x\| + \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{s \in \mathbf{R}} \|T_\phi(F_x(\alpha + is))\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|F_x\|_{H^\infty}}{2\pi} (3\|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 + (4 - 2 \ln a) \|\phi\|_{a,1}). \end{aligned}$$



□

**Theorem 2.8.** For  $a > 0$ ,  $\phi \mapsto T_\phi$  is a continuous Banach algebra homomorphism from  $L^1_{a,\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty)$ .

Proof. Proposition 2.7 shows that the map is a continuous linear map of  $L^1_{a,\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty)$ . It remains to prove that the map  $\phi \mapsto T_\phi$  is an algebra homomorphism, i.e. that  $T_{\psi*\phi}x = (T_\psi \circ T_\phi)x$  for all  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ . But this is almost trivial for  $\psi, \phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , and the general case follows by density and continuity via Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4. □

### 3. Applications to individual orbits

In this section, we apply the results of Section 2 to obtain information about orbits  $T(\cdot)T_\phi x$ . This is possible because  $T(t)T_\phi x = T_{\phi_t}x$ , where

$$\phi_t(s) = \begin{cases} \phi(s-t), & s > t, \\ 0, & 0 \leq s < t. \end{cases}$$

For the proofs in this and the following section we want to recall some results concerning vector-valued holomorphic functions. Let

$$H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{C}_+; X) := \left\{ F : \mathbf{C}_+ \rightarrow X : F \text{ is holomorphic and for all } R > 0 \right. \\ \left. \limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{-R}^R \|F(\alpha + is)\| ds < \infty \right\}.$$

Let  $Y \subset X^*$  be a norming subspace in the sense that for each  $x \in X$  one has  $\|x\| = \sup\{|\langle x, x^* \rangle| : x^* \in Y, \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$ . Note that if  $Y \subset X^*$  is norming, then  $X$  can be identified in a natural way with a closed subspace of  $Y^*$ .

Let  $F \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{C}_+; X)$ . We say that a function  $\tilde{F} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow Y^*$  is a *boundary function* for  $F$  if for each  $x^* \in Y$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F(\alpha + is), x^* \rangle = \langle x^*, \tilde{F}(s) \rangle \quad \text{a.e.}(s).$$

If  $F \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{C}_+; X)$  has a boundary function  $\tilde{F} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow Y^*$ , then for each  $x^* \in Y$  the function  $\langle \tilde{F}(\cdot), x^* \rangle$  is the limit in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R})$  of the functions  $\langle F(\alpha + i\cdot), x^* \rangle$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$  (cf. [Du, Sections 2.3, 11.3]).

In the vector-valued case, boundary functions have been studied by Bukhvalov [Bu] (actually, he considered holomorphic functions on the disc, but the generalization to the right half plane by conformal mappings is standard [Ch2, Section 3]). When  $X$

has the analytic Radon-Nikodým property (ARNP), every function  $F \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{C}_+, X)$  has a boundary function  $\tilde{F} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \|F(\alpha + is) - \tilde{F}(s)\| = 0$  a.e. For general  $X$ , the following proposition was proved in [Bu, Theorems 2.3, 2.4]. For completeness, we give here a short direct proof in the case of bounded holomorphic functions, which will suffice for our application in Theorem 4.1.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $Y$  be a norming subspace of  $X^*$ . Then for each  $F \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{C}_+; X)$  there exists a boundary function  $\tilde{F} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow Y^*$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the case when  $F \in H^\infty(\mathbf{C}_+; X)$  (see [Bu] for the general case). By the boundedness of  $K = \{F(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}_+\}$  and the Banach-Alaoglu theorem,  $K$  is relatively weak\*-compact as a subset of  $Y^*$ . For each  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  let  $\tilde{F}(s) \in Y^*$  be a weak\*-limit point of the net  $\{F(\alpha + is) : \alpha \downarrow 0\}$ .

Fix  $x^* \in Y$ . By the theory of (scalar) Hardy spaces (cf. [Du, Section 11.3]), we know that for almost all  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  the scalar limit  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F(\alpha + is), x^* \rangle$  exists. By construction of  $\tilde{F}$  we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F(\alpha + is), x^* \rangle = \langle x^*, \tilde{F}(s) \rangle \quad \text{a.e.}(s).$$

Thus  $\tilde{F}$  is a boundary function of  $F$ . □

The following Tauberian theorem is originally due to Ingham [In, Theorem I] with a somewhat stronger form of convergence to the boundary function. Actually, Ingham considered only the scalar-valued case which is all that we shall need in this section, but we will use the vector-valued case in Section 4. Our version of the theorem is proved in [Ch2, Prop. 1.3, Rem 1.4]. Note that in this theorem the boundary function of  $\hat{f}$  is assumed to be strongly (Bochner) measurable.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow X$  be uniformly continuous, and suppose that the Laplace transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  has a boundary function in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{R}, X)$ . Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|f(t)\| = 0$ .*

Our next result is a generalisation of [HN, Theorem 0.3].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  and  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Then the orbit  $T(\cdot)T_\phi x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous, and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)T_\phi x = 0 \quad \text{weakly.}$$

*Proof.* For  $t \geq 0$ , let

$$\phi_t(s) = \begin{cases} \phi(s - t), & s \geq t, \\ 0, & 0 < s < t. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(\mathcal{F}\phi_t)(s) = e^{ist}(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s)$  and  $T_{\phi_t}x = T(t)T_\phi x$ . By Proposition 2.4,

$$\|T(t)T_\phi x\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}\phi_t\|_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t+h)T_\phi x - T(t)T_\phi x\| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}(\phi_{t+h} - \phi_t)\|_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(e^{ish} - 1)(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s)| ds \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $t$ , by the Dominated Convergence Theorem.

For the final statement, we first consider  $\psi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap C_c(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Let  $x^* \in X^*$  and let  $f(t) = \langle T(t)T_\psi x, x^* \rangle$ . Then  $f$  is bounded and uniformly continuous, and  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \langle R(\lambda, A)T_\psi x, x^* \rangle$  for  $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > \max(0, \omega_0(\mathbf{T}))$ . Since  $T_\psi x \in H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}$ , it follows that  $\hat{f}$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , and therefore has a boundary function in  $L^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  by Proposition 3.1. By Theorem 3.2,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0$ , so  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)T_\psi x = 0$  weakly.

Since

$$\|T(t)T_\phi x - T(t)T_\psi x\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}(\phi_t - \psi_t)\|_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}(\phi - \psi)\|_1,$$

it follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $T(t)T_\phi x \rightarrow 0$  weakly.  $\square$

There is an alternative proof of Theorem 3.3 which uses [HN, Theorem 0.3] and an argument similar to the proof of [HN, Corollary 1.6]. On the other hand, we show now that Theorem 3.3 includes [HN, Theorem 0.3] as a special case, and this enables us to give a general formula for  $T_\phi x$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $x \in H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}$ ,  $\mu > \max(\omega_0(\mathbf{T}), 0)$ , and  $\alpha > 1$ . Then*

- (1)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x = 0$  weakly,
- (2)  $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x\| \leq c_{\alpha, \mu} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty}$ , where

$$c_{\alpha, \mu} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{(\mu^2 + s^2)^{\alpha/2}} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha-1}{2})}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})\mu^{\alpha-1}}.$$

- (3) For  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $T_\phi x = (\mu I - A)^\alpha \left( \int_0^\infty \phi(t)T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x dt \right)$ .

*Proof.* Parts (1) and (2) follow from Example 2.5 and Theorem 3.3 (see also [HN, Theorem 0.3]). The equality

$$R(\mu, A)^\alpha T_\phi x = \int_0^\infty \phi(t)T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x dt$$

holds for  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , and hence for  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  by density (Lemma 2.3) and continuity (using Proposition 2.4 and (2)). Now (3) follows.  $\square$

While Theorem 3.3 shows that the orbit  $T(\cdot)T_\phi x$  is bounded in  $X$  for any  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , we can show that  $\|T(t)T_\phi x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}}$  grows at most logarithmically if we make a slightly stronger assumption on  $\phi$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $x \in H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}$  and  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ .*

(1) *If  $\phi \in L^1_{a,\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  for some  $0 < a < 1$ , then*

$$\|T(t)T_\phi x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}} \leq 2(1 + \ln t)\|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\phi\|_{L^1_{a,\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)}, \quad t \geq 1/a.$$

(2) *If  $R(\cdot, A)^2 x$  has a bounded holomorphic extension  $G_x$  to  $\mathbf{C}_+$ , then*

$$\|T(t)T_\phi x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 (\|F_x\|_{H^\infty} + \|G_x\|_{H^\infty}), \quad t \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\phi \in L^1_{a,\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . For  $0 < \alpha \leq a$ ,  $\|\phi_t\|_{\alpha,1} \leq e^{\alpha t} \|\phi\|_{a,1}$ . By Proposition 2.7 (3),

$$\|T(t)T_\phi x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}} = \|T_{\phi_t} x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} (3\|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 + (4 - 2\ln \alpha)e^{\alpha t} \|\phi\|_{a,1}).$$

For  $t \geq 1/a$ , we may put  $\alpha = 1/t$ , so

$$\|T(t)T_\phi x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} (3\|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 + (4 + 2\ln t)e \|\phi\|_{a,1}).$$

This suffices to give (1).

The statement (2) is proved by applying Proposition 2.7 (2) with  $\phi$  replaced by  $\phi_t$ .  $\square$

Now we will consider orbits  $t \mapsto T(t)R(\mu, A)x$  for  $x \in H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}$ . It was shown in [Ne1] that  $\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\|$  grows at most linearly, and it is an open problem whether these orbits are always bounded. The difficulty here is the fact that the Fourier transform of the function  $\phi_\mu(t) = e^{-\mu t}$  does not belong to  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$ , so Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.5 are not applicable. Nevertheless we will show that the result of [Ne1] can be derived from the results of Section 2, and indeed strengthened to show that  $\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}}$  grows at most linearly.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\mu \in \varrho(A)$ . There exists a constant  $c_\mu > 0$  such that*

$$\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}} \leq c_\mu(1 + t)\|x\|_{H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}}, \quad x \in H^\infty_{\mathbf{T}}, t \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega > \max(1, \omega_0(\mathbf{T}))$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1 < t$ , and

$$\phi(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq s \leq t - \alpha, \\ 1 + \frac{s-t}{\alpha}, & t - \alpha < s \leq t, \\ e^{-\omega(s-t)}, & s \geq t. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) = e^{-ist} \left( \frac{1}{\omega + is} + \frac{i}{s} + \frac{1 - e^{i\alpha s}}{\alpha s^2} \right),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 &\leq 2\|\phi\|_1 + \int_{|s|\geq 1} \left| \frac{1}{\omega + is} + \frac{i}{s} + \frac{1 - e^{i\alpha s}}{\alpha s^2} \right| ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\omega} + \alpha + \int_{1 \leq |s| \leq \alpha^{-1}} \left( \left| \frac{1}{\omega + is} \right| + \left| \frac{i}{s} + \frac{1 - e^{i\alpha s}}{\alpha s^2} \right| \right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{|s| > \alpha^{-1}} \left( \left| \frac{1}{\omega + is} + \frac{i}{s} \right| + \frac{|1 - e^{i\alpha s}|}{\alpha s^2} \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\omega} + \alpha - 2 \log \alpha + \int_{-1}^1 \left| \frac{i}{r} + \frac{1 - e^{ir}}{r^2} \right| dr + 2\alpha\omega + 2 \int_1^\infty \frac{|1 - e^{ir}|}{r^2} dr \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\omega} + (1 + 2\omega)\alpha - 2 \log \alpha + 5. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < a < 1$ ,

$$\|\phi\|_{a,1} = \int_{t-\alpha}^t \left( 1 + \frac{s-t}{\alpha} \right) e^{as} ds + \int_t^\infty e^{-\omega(s-t)} e^{as} ds \leq e^{at} \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{\omega - a} \right).$$

Let  $M_\omega = \sup_{s \geq 0} e^{-\omega s} \|T(s)\| < \infty$ . By Proposition 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(t)R(\omega, A)x\|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty} \\ &= \left\| \int_0^\infty \phi(s)T(s)x ds - \int_{t-\alpha}^t \left( 1 + \frac{s-t}{\alpha} \right) T(s)x ds \right\|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} (3\|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 + (4 - 2 \ln a)\|\phi\|_{a,1}) + \int_{t-\alpha}^t M_\omega e^{\omega s} \|x\|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty} ds \\ &\leq \kappa_\omega(a, \alpha, t) \|x\|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\omega(a, \alpha, t) &= \\ &\frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{6}{\omega} + 3\alpha + 6\alpha\omega - 6 \log \alpha + 15 + (4 - 2 \ln a)e^{at} \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{\omega - a} \right) \right) + \alpha M_\omega e^{\omega t}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t \geq 1$ , we may take  $\alpha = e^{-\omega t}$  and  $a = 1/t$ , and we obtain

$$\|T(t)R(\omega, A)x\|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty} \leq \tilde{\kappa}_\omega(t) \|x\|_{H_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty},$$

where

$$\tilde{\kappa}_\omega(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{6}{\omega} + (3 + 6\omega)e^{-\omega t} + 6\omega t + 15 + (4 + 2 \ln t)e \left( e^{-\omega t} + \frac{t}{\omega t - 1} \right) \right) + M_\omega.$$

Since  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|T(t)R(\omega, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{T}}^\infty)} \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|T(t)R(\omega, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})} < \infty$ , the result follows in the case when  $\mu = \omega$ .

For an arbitrary  $\mu \in \rho(A)$ , the result now follows via the resolvent identity.  $\square$

Alboth [Al] has used the result of [Ne1] to study rapidly decaying orbits of  $\mathbf{T}$ . In that context, it is important to know the asymptotic behaviour of the constant  $c_\omega$  in Theorem 3.6 for large  $\omega$ . Both the estimates in [Ne1] and those given above show that  $c_\omega$  cannot grow faster than linearly.

Our next result improves the bound  $c_\mu(1+t)$  for  $\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\|$  for positive semigroups and positive  $x$ . Recall that an ordered Banach space  $X$  is said to be *normal* if there is a constant  $\kappa$  such that  $\|x\| \leq \kappa \max(\|y_1\|, \|y_2\|)$  whenever  $y_1 \leq x \leq y_2$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{T}$  is a positive semigroup on a normal ordered Banach space  $X$ . For all  $\mu \in \rho(A)$  there exists a constant  $c_\mu > 0$  such that*

$$\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\| \leq c_\mu(1 + \ln t)\|x\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty},$$

whenever  $t \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ .

*Proof.* By the resolvent identity, without loss of generality we may assume that  $\mu > \omega_0(\mathbf{T})$ . Then  $0 \leq R(\mu, A)x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ .

Fix  $t \geq 1$ , and let

$$\phi(s) = (\chi_{(0,1)} * \chi_{(0,t)})(s) = \begin{cases} s, & 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ 1, & 1 \leq s \leq t, \\ t+1-s, & t \leq s \leq t+1, \\ 0, & t+1 \leq s. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(\mathcal{F}\phi)(s) = -\left(\frac{1-e^{-is}}{s}\right)\left(\frac{1-e^{-ist}}{s}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 &\leq 2 \int_2^\infty \frac{4}{s^2} ds + \int_{-2}^2 \left| \frac{1-e^{-ist}}{s} \right| ds \\ &\leq 4 + 4 \int_0^2 \frac{|\sin(st/2)|}{s} ds \\ &\leq 4 + 4 \int_0^t \frac{|\sin s|}{s} ds \leq 8 + 4 \ln t. \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 \leq y \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ ,

$$0 \leq \int_1^t T(s)y ds \leq T_\phi y,$$

so

$$\left\| \int_1^t T(s)y \, ds \right\| \leq \kappa \|T_\phi y\| \leq \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \|F_y\|_{H^\infty} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 \leq \frac{\kappa}{\pi} \|F_y\|_{H^\infty} (4 + 2 \ln t).$$

Hence

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)y \, ds \right\| \leq c(1 + \ln t) \|y\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty},$$

where  $c = 4\kappa/\pi + \int_0^1 \|T(s)\| \, ds$ . Applying this to  $y = x$  and  $y = \mu R(\mu, A)x$  and using Proposition 2.6 and the identity  $AR(\mu, A)x = \mu R(\mu, A)x - x$ , it follows that there exists a constant  $c'_\mu > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \int_0^t T(s)AR(\mu, A)x \, ds \right\| \leq c'_\mu(1 + \ln t) \|x\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty}, \quad t \geq 1,$$

whenever  $0 \leq x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$ . Hence, the identity

$$T(t)R(\mu, A)x = R(\mu, A)x + \int_0^t T(s)AR(\mu, A)x \, ds$$

implies

$$\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\| \leq \|R(\mu, A)x\| + c'_\mu(1 + \ln t) \|x\|_{H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty}, \quad t \geq 1.$$

□

In the proof above, we could choose  $\phi$  to be any non-negative function in  $L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  such that  $\phi \geq 1$  on  $[1, t]$ . We would conclude that  $\|T(t)R(\mu, A)x\|$  grows no faster than a constant multiple of

$$\inf \{ \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_1 : 0 \leq \phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+), \phi \geq 1 \text{ on } [1, t] \}.$$

We do not know whether this quantity grows logarithmically in  $t$ .

#### 4. The $\odot$ -reflexive case

For a given  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\mathbf{T}$  on a Banach space  $X$  let  $X^\odot$  be the maximal  $\mathbf{T}^*$ -invariant subspace of  $X^*$  such that the restriction  $\mathbf{T}^\odot$  of  $\mathbf{T}^*$  to  $X^\odot$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup. Then  $X^\odot$  is the norm-closure of  $D(A^*)$  in  $X^*$ . Replacing the norm on  $X$  by an equivalent norm, for example

$$\|x\|' = \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\omega t} \|T(t)x\|$$

for some  $\omega > \omega_0(\mathbf{T})$ , we may assume that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T(t)\| = 1$ . Then  $X^\odot$  is a norming subspace of  $X^*$ . Using the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\mathbf{T}^\odot$  on  $X^\odot$ , one may form the space  $X^{\odot\odot}$ , and identify  $X$  in a natural way with a closed subspace of  $X^{\odot\odot}$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{T}$

is said to be  $\odot$ -*reflexive* if  $X^{\odot\odot} = X$ . We refer the reader to [Ne2, Section 1.3] for all these concepts and properties.

In this section we will present some individual stability results for  $\odot$ -reflexive semigroups. More generally, we will assume that the quotient space  $X^{\odot\odot}/X$  is separable. For arbitrary semigroups on spaces with the ARNP, similar results have been obtained in [HN, Theorem 0.2] and [Ch2, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $X$  and assume that  $X^{\odot\odot}/X$  is separable. Let  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ . If the orbit  $T(\cdot)x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $Y$  is a closed  $\mathbf{T}$ -invariant subspace of  $X$ , then  $Y^{\odot\odot}/Y$  is canonically isomorphic to a closed subspace of  $X^{\odot\odot}/X$  [Ne2, Lemma 6.1.7]. By passing to the closed linear span of the  $\mathbf{T}$ -orbit of  $x$ , without loss of generality we may assume that  $X$  is separable. The separability of  $X^{\odot\odot}/X$  then implies that also  $X^{\odot\odot}$  is separable.

Since  $F_x \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{C}_+; X)$ , we may apply Proposition 3.1 with  $Y = X^{\odot}$ , and we find a boundary function  $\widetilde{F}_x : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X^{\odot*}$  such that for all  $x^{\odot} \in X^{\odot}$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle F_x(\alpha + is), x^{\odot} \rangle = \langle x^{\odot}, \widetilde{F}_x(s) \rangle \quad \text{a.e.}(s).$$

Fix  $\mu \in \varrho(A)$ . The resolvent identity and analytic continuation give

$$F_x(\lambda) = R(\mu, A)x + (\mu - \lambda)R(\mu, A)F_x(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}_+,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^{\odot}, \widetilde{F}_x(s) \rangle &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0+} \langle F_x(\alpha + is), x^{\odot} \rangle \\ &= \langle R(\mu, A)x, x^{\odot} \rangle + \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0+} (\mu - (\alpha + is)) \langle F_x(\alpha + is), R(\mu, A^{\odot})x^{\odot} \rangle \\ &= \langle R(\mu, A)x, x^{\odot} \rangle + (\mu - is) \langle x^{\odot}, R(\mu, A^{\odot*})\widetilde{F}_x(s) \rangle \quad \text{a.e.}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\widetilde{F}_x(s) = R(\mu, A)x + (\mu - is)R(\mu, A^{\odot*})\widetilde{F}_x(s) \in D(A^{\odot*}) \subseteq X^{\odot\odot} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Thus  $\widetilde{F}_x$  is separably valued and weak\*-measurable, hence strongly measurable by the weak\*-version of Pettis's Theorem [DU, Corollary 4, p.42]. Therefore  $T(\cdot)x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous and its Laplace transform admits a bounded, strongly measurable boundary function (we may regard these functions as having values in  $X^{\odot\odot}$ , or alternatively we may deduce from [Bu, Theorem 2.5] that the values of the boundary function lie in  $X$ ). From Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)x\| = 0.$$



□

There is an alternative proof of Theorem 4.1 using arguments adapted from [HN]. Given  $\omega > \max(0, \omega_0(\mathbf{T}))$ , let

$$H(s) = (\omega + is)^{-1} R(\mu, A) \widetilde{F}_x(-is).$$

Then  $H \in L^1(\mathbf{R}, X^{\odot\odot})$ , so  $\mathcal{F}H \in C_0(\mathbf{R}, X)$  by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma. A calculation similar to one following Theorem 1.2 in [HN] shows that, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$(\mathcal{F}H)(t) = 2\pi T(t)R(\omega, A)R(\mu, A)x.$$

Thus,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)R(\omega, A)R(\mu, A)x\| = 0$ . The assumption that  $T(\cdot)x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous can now be used as in the proof of [HN, Corollary 1.6] to obtain the result.

The separability assumption on  $X^{\odot\odot}/X$  cannot be omitted from Theorem 4.1, as [HN, Example 1.7] shows. There,  $X = C_0(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $\mathbf{T}$  is the semigroup of left translations, and  $X^{\odot\odot} = \text{BUC}(\mathbf{R})$  [Ne2, Example 1.3.9]. However, the theorem is true without the assumption if  $X$  has the ARNP. Then the bounded holomorphic function  $F_x$  has a strongly measurable boundary function with values in  $X$  [Bu], and the result follows directly from Theorem 3.2 (see also [HN, Theorem 2.2], [Ne3, Theorem 4.4.2]).

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $X^{\odot\odot}/X$  be separable and let  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ . Then for all  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$  we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)T_{\phi}x\| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Consider first  $\psi \in C_c(\mathbf{R}_+) \cap L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Then  $T_{\psi}x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ , and it follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1 that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)T_{\psi}x\| = 0$ . Now the result follows by approximation, as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. □

Combining this with Example 2.5 we obtain:

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $X^{\odot\odot}/X$  be separable and let  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ . Then for all  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\mu > \omega_0(\mathbf{T})$  we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)R(\mu, A)^{\alpha}x\| = 0.$$

Concluding this section we would like to remark that the  $\odot$ -reflexive case in Theorem 4.1 actually follows from a much more general result in Tauberian theory. If  $\mathbf{T}$  is a  $\odot$ -reflexive semigroup, then  $R(\mu, A)$  is weakly compact [Pa, Theorem 3.5], [Ne2, Theorem 2.5.2]. For  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ , the resolvent identity

$$F_x(z) = R(\mu, A)x + (\mu - z)R(\mu, A)F_x(z)$$

yields that  $\{F_x(z) : z \in U\} \cap B(0, R)$  is relatively weakly compact in  $X$  for all bounded sets  $U \subset \mathbf{C}_+$  and all  $R > 0$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $f \in \text{BUC}(\mathbf{R}_+; X)$  be such that  $\widehat{f} \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{C}_+; X)$ . If the set  $\{\widehat{f}(z) : z \in U\} \cap B(0, R)$  is relatively weakly compact in  $X$  for all bounded sets  $U \subset \mathbf{C}_+$  and all  $R > 0$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|f(t)\| = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Restricting to the closed linear span of  $\{f(t) : t \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$  we may assume without loss of generality that the space  $X$  is separable. Let  $Y$  be a separable and norming subspace of  $X^*$  and let  $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \subset Y$  be a dense sequence. By Proposition 3.1 we find a boundary function  $\widetilde{f} : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow Y^*$  for the Laplace transform  $\widehat{f}$  and a set  $N \subset \mathbf{R}$  of Lebesgue measure 0 such that for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and all  $s \in \mathbf{R} \setminus N$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \langle \widehat{f}(\alpha + is), x_n^* \rangle = \langle x_n^*, \widetilde{f}(s) \rangle.$$

This and the assumption of weak compactness yield that  $\widetilde{f}(s) \in X$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{R} \setminus N$ . Since  $X$  is separable, the function  $\widetilde{f}$  is strongly measurable with values in  $X$  by Pettis's Theorem.

The claim follows from Theorem 3.2. □

## 5. Some extensions and questions

### 5.1. Extensions

The following three remarks describe some extensions of the results given above.

**Remark 5.1.** The functional calculus of Section 2 can be extended slightly. Let

$$L_*^1(\mathbf{R}) = \{\psi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}) : \mathcal{F}\psi = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}_+\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $L_*^1(\mathbf{R})$  is the closure in  $L^1(\mathbf{R})$  of  $\{\mathcal{F}\phi : \phi \in L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)\}$ . It follows from Corollary 2.2 that there is a unique continuous bilinear map:

$$(\psi, x) \in L_*^1(\mathbf{R}) \times H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty \mapsto \psi(iA)x \in X$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}\phi)(iA)x &= T_\phi x, & \phi &\in L_{\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+), \\ \|\psi(iA)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^\infty} \|\psi\|_1, & \psi &\in L_*^1(\mathbf{R}), x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T(t)\psi(iA)x = \psi^t(iA)x$ , where  $\psi^t(s) = e^{-ist}\psi(s)$ , Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 4.2 remain valid when  $T_\phi x$  is replaced by  $\psi(iA)x$ .

**Remark 5.2.** Another extension of the functional calculus is the following. Let  $H_{\mathbf{T},k}^\infty$  be the space of all  $x \in X$  such that the local resolvent  $R(\cdot, A)x$  has a holomorphic extension  $F_x$  to  $\mathbf{C}_+$  satisfying

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}_+} \frac{\|F_x(\lambda)\|}{|(1 + \lambda)^k|} =: \|F_x\|_{\infty, k} < \infty.$$

Equipped with the norm  $\|x\|_{H_{\mathbf{T},k}^\infty} := \|x\| + \|F_x\|_{\infty,k}$ , this space is a Banach space.

Next, define the space

$$L_{a,\mathcal{F},k}^1(\mathbf{R}_+) := \{\phi \in L_{a,\mathcal{F}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+) : \mathcal{F}\phi \in L^1(\mathbf{R}; (1 + |t|)^k dt)\}.$$

Using the techniques developed in Section 2, it is not difficult to introduce a functional calculus from  $L_{a,\mathcal{F},k}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$  into  $H_{\mathbf{T},k}^\infty$ . With this functional calculus it is possible to prove similar results on individual stability as in Sections 3 and 4. For example, if  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T},k}^\infty$  and  $\phi \in L_{a,\mathcal{F},k}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , then the orbit  $T(\cdot)T_\phi x$  is bounded and uniformly continuous, and weakly asymptotically stable (compare with Theorem 3.3).

Results of this type have been obtained in [HN], and we will not go into details.

**Remark 5.3.** For  $1 < p < \infty$ , let  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^p$  be the space of all  $x \in X$  for which  $R(\cdot, A)x$  has a holomorphic extension  $F_x : \mathbf{C}_+ \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|F_x\|_{H^p} := \sup_{\alpha > 0} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|F_x(\alpha + is)\|^p ds \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

It follows from a variant of Proposition 2.1 and Hölder’s inequality that

$$\|T_\phi x\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|F_x\|_{H^p} \|\mathcal{F}\phi\|_{p'}$$

whenever  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^p$ ,  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{F}\phi \in L^{p'}(\mathbf{R})$  where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . As in Section 2, it is possible to define a functional calculus  $(\phi, x) \mapsto T_\phi x$  when  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^p$ ,  $\phi \in L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and  $\mathcal{F}\phi \in L^{p'}(\mathbf{R})$  (the Hausdorff-Young inequality shows that  $T_\phi x$  is defined for all  $\phi \in L^p(\mathbf{R}_+)$  when  $1 < p \leq 2$ ). As in Remark 1, one can extend this to a functional calculus  $(\psi, x) \mapsto \psi(iA)x$  when  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^p$ ,  $\psi \in L^{p'}(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\mathcal{F}\psi = 0$  on  $\mathbf{R}_+$  (the two calculi coincide when  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ). As in Theorem 3.3, the corresponding orbits of  $\mathbf{T}$  are bounded, uniformly continuous, and converge to 0 weakly (in norm if  $X^{\odot\odot}/X$  is separable, as in Corollary 4.2). In particular, Example 2.5 provides the following counterpart to Corollary 3.4, (1) and (2).

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^p$ ,  $\mu > \max(\omega_0(\mathbf{T}), 0)$  and  $\alpha > 1/p'$ . Then*

- (1)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x = 0$  weakly,
- (2)  $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x\| \leq \frac{\|F_x\|_{H^p}}{2\pi} \left( \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{ds}{(\mu^2 + s^2)^{\alpha p'/2}} \right)^{1/p'}$ .

This result can be compared with [HN, Theorem 0.1], where it is shown that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T(t)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x\| = 0$  if  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  and  $X$  has Fourier type  $p'$ .

If  $\mathbf{T}$  is a holomorphic semigroup, then  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty \subset H_{\mathbf{T}}^p$  for all  $p > 1$ , and it follows that  $T(\cdot)R(\mu, A)^\alpha x$  is bounded whenever  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^\infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Mark Blake [Bl] has shown that this is also true when  $\alpha = 0$  for some classes of semigroups, including holomorphic semigroups.

## 5.2. Open questions

The following questions remain open:

**Problem 5.5.** *Let  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$  and  $\phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ . Is it always true that  $T_{\phi}x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ ? See Proposition 2.7.*

**Problem 5.6.** *Let  $x \in H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ . Is it always true that  $T(\cdot)R(\mu, A)x$  is bounded in  $X$  (or better in  $H_{\mathbf{T}}^{\infty}$ )? See Theorem 3.6 and Remark 5.3 above. If not, is this true in the context of positive semigroups, as in Theorem 3.7?*

## References

- [Al] D. ALBOTH, Individual asymptotics of  $C_0$ -semigroups: lower bounds and small solutions, *J. Differential Equations* **143** (1998), 221–228.
- [Bl] M. BLAKE, Asymptotically norm-continuous semigroups of operators, DPhil thesis, Oxford University, 1999.
- [Bu] A.G. BUKHVALOV, Hardy spaces of vector-valued functions, *J. Soviet Math.* **16** (1981), 1051–1059.
- [Ch1] R. CHILL, Stability results for individual solutions of the abstract Cauchy problem via Tauberian theorems, *Ulmer Sem. Funktionalanal. Differentialgleichungen* **1** (1996), 122–133.
- [Ch2] ———, Tauberian theorems for vector-valued Fourier and Laplace transforms, *Studia Math.* **128** (1998), 55–69.
- [DU] J. DIESTEL AND J.J. UHL, *Vector measures*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
- [Du] P. L. DUREN, *Theory of  $H^p$ -Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1970.
- [HN] S.-Z. HUANG AND J.M.A.M. VAN NEERVEN,  $B$ -convexity, the analytic Radon-Nikodym property, and individual stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **231** (1999), 1–20.
- [In] A.E. INGHAM, On Wiener’s method in Tauberian theorems, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1935), 458–480.
- [Ka] Y. KATZNELSON, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Dover Publications, 2nd ed., New York, 1976.
- [Ne1] J.M.A.M. VAN NEERVEN, Individual stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups with uniformly bounded local resolvent, *Semigroup Forum* **53** (1996), 155–161.
- [Ne2] ———, *The Adjoint of a Semigroup of Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1992.
- [Ne3] ———, *The Asymptotic Behaviour of Semigroups of Linear Operators*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [Pa] B. DE PAGTER, A characterization of sun-reflexivity, *Math. Ann.* **283** (1989), 511–518.
- [WW] L. WEIS AND V. WROBEL, Asymptotic behavior of  $C_0$ -semigroups in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3663–3771.

*St. John's College*  
*Oxford OX1 3JP*  
*England*  
charles.batty@sjc.ox.ac.uk

*Abteilung Mathematik V*  
*Universität Ulm*  
*89069 Ulm*  
*Germany*  
chill@mathematik.uni-ulm.de

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Delft University of Technology*  
*P.O.Box 5031*  
*2600 GA Delft*  
*The Netherlands*  
J.vanNeerven@twi.tudelft.nl